

1 Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
2 POLICY BOARD
3 Commissioners Courtroom, Grayson County Courthouse
4 100 W Houston St, Sherman, TX 75090
5 Wednesday, February 4, 2026 at 8:30 a.m.
6

7 **Board Members Present:**

8 Mayor Robert Crawley, Chairman City of Denison
9 Judge Bruce Dawsey, Vice Chairman Grayson County
10 Mayor Shawn Teamann City of Sherman
11 Mayor Karla McDonald City of Howe
12 Noel Paramanatham, P.E. TxDOT Paris District Engineer

13
14 **Board Members Absent:**

15 None

16
17 **Ex-Officio Members Present:**

18 Mayor Karen Souther City of Gunter
19 Mayor Jim Atchison City of Van Alstyne

20
21 **Ex-Officio Members Absent:**

22 Mayor Tom Ceci City of Pottsboro
23 Mayor Jeff Butts City of Whitesboro
24 Shellie White Texoma Area Paratransit System

25
26 **Non-Voting Members Present:**

27 None

28
29 **Non-Voting Members Absent:**

30 Phillip Tindall TxDOT-TPP Division
31 Justin Morgan Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
32 Michelle Bloomer Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

33
34 **Technical Advisory Committee Members Present:**

35 Danielle Talley City of Collinsville
36 Mary Tate City of Denison
37 Shone Nix City of Pottsboro
38 Clint Philpott City of Sherman
39 Alex Glushko City of Van Alstyne
40 Phil Harris City of Whitesboro
41 Bill Benton Grayson County

42
43 **Staff Present:**

44 Clay Barnett, P.E. Grayson County MPO
45 Ben Munson Grayson County MPO

1 **Guests Present:**

- 2 Josh Marr Grayson County Commissioner, Pct. 1
- 3 Art Arthur Grayson County Commissioner, Pct. 2
- 4 Lindsay Wright Grayson County Commissioner, Pct. 3
- 5 Matt Hardenburg Grayson County Commissioner, Pct. 4
- 6 Sen. Brent Hagenbuch Texas Senate, District 30
- 7 Todd Gallaher Texas Senate, District 30
- 8 Melanie Marx Texas Senate, District 30
- 9 Rep. Shelley Luther Texas House of Representatives, District 62
- 10 Diana Richards Texas House of Representatives, District 62
- 11 Mayor Chad Major City of Pilot Point
- 12 Britt Lusk City of Pilot Point
- 13 Darrell Gentry City of Pilot Point
- 14 Nestor Ramirez City of Pilot Point
- 15 Max Rowe Huitt-Zollars, Inc.

16

17 **I. Call to Order**

18

19 Mayor Crawley called the meeting to order at 8:34 a.m.

20

21 **II. Acknowledgment of Quorum by Chairman**

22

23 Mayor Crawley declared a quorum of the Policy Board present.

24

25 **III. Public Comment Period**

26

27 No Public Comments

28

29 **IV. Consider approval of the minutes of the MPO Policy Board meeting of October 1, 2025**

30

31 Mayor Crawley inquired if all members had reviewed the minutes from the previous Policy Board meeting on November 3, 2026.

32

33 Motion to approve the minutes of the MPO Policy Board meeting of November 3, 2026 was made by Judge Dawsey, seconded by Mayor McDonald. Motion Carries.

34

35 **V. Review the Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan for the Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS) and Consider Approval of a Resolution Adopting the TAM Plan**

36

37 Mr. Barnett explained that this is first of three performance measures on the agenda for today. He added that the TAM Plan addresses how TAPS plans to maintain their rolling stock and infrastructure throughout the calendar year. He added that the Policy Board has 180 days to either support their performance measures or adopt their own. He stated that the TAM Plan was recommended for approval by the TAC at their January 21, 2026, meeting.

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

1 Motion to approve the Resolution Adopting the TAM Plan, was made by Mayor Teamann,
2 seconded by Mayor McDonald. Motion Carries.

3
4 **VI. Review the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) for the Texoma Area**
5 **Paratransit System (TAPS) and Consider Approval of a Resolution Adopting the PTASP**

6
7 Mr. Barnett explained that TAPS has to adopt safety performance measures, called a Public
8 Transportation Agency Safety Plan or PTASP for short, each calendar year. He added that similar
9 to the TAM plan, the Policy Board has 180 years to support the PTASP or adopt their own. He
10 stated that the PTASP was recommend for approval by the TAC at their January 21, 2026, meeting.

11
12 Mayor Teamann asked the Policy Board if TAPS gives presentations to their City Councils on a
13 regular basis. He stated that they do come and give a summary at the Sherman City Council on
14 occasion. Mayor McDonald stated that TAPS has not been to one of their City Council meetings.
15 Mayor Teamann requested that prior to the next adoption of the PTASP, TAPS come to the Policy
16 Board meeting and give a summary of what improvements they are making to improve safety.
17 Judge Dawsey added that this would save them the effort of giving this same presentation at each
18 City Council meeting.

19
20 Motion to approve the Resolution Adopting the PTASP was made by Mayor Teamann, seconded
21 by Judge Dawsey. Motion Carries.

22
23 **VII. Review the Targets for Safety Performance Measures (PM1) for Calendar Year**
24 **2026 As Established by the Texas Department of Transportation and Consider Approval of**
25 **a Resolution Adopting the PM1**

26
27 Mr. Barnett stated that this is for the adoption of our yearly Safety Performance measures, called
28 PM1. He stated that the GCMPO Policy Board has traditionally supported the state targets. He
29 stated that the main reason for supporting the state targets was that we have so few serious
30 accidents in Grayson County that a change of one or two it would greatly distort what the actual
31 performance is for this region. He stated that the PM1 was recommended for approval by the TAC
32 at their January 21, 2026, meeting.

33
34 Motion to approve the Resolution Adopting the PM1 was made by Mayor McDonald, seconded
35 by Judge Dawsey. Motion Carries.

36
37 **VIII. Review an Amendment to the 2024 Grayson County Thoroughfare Plan and**
38 **Consider Approval of a Resolution Adopting the Amendment to the 2024 Grayson County**
39 **Thoroughfare Plan**

40
41 Mr. Barnett stated that the 2024 Grayson County Thoroughfare Plan was adopted roughly one year
42 ago. He added that since that time a request was made by Commissioner Marr to amend the
43 thoroughfare plan with the changes indicated in the agenda packet. Mr. Barnett invited
44 Commissioner Marr to give a summary of the changes.

45
46 Commissioner Marr gave a brief summary of the proposed amendments to the Grayson County

1 Thoroughfare Plan.

2
3 Mayor Atchison commended Commissioner Marr for his work on the thoroughfare plan revisions.

4
5 Motion to approve the Resolution adopting the Amendment to the 2024 Grayson County
6 Thoroughfare Plan was made by Judge Dawsey, seconded by Mayor Teamann. Motion Carries.

7
8 **IX. Review an Amendment to the 2026-2027 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)**
9 **and Consider Approval of a Resolution Adopting the Amendment to the 2026-2027 UPWP**

10
11 Mr. Barnett states that the UPWP was out two-year budget. He stated that the budget is drafted in
12 the spring of odd years, so it is already a year old. He added that there were four changes we needed
13 to make, namely: 1) including funds for the contract that was executed with Ben Munson at the
14 previous Policy Board meeting, 2) a slight increase for our email service, 3) rolling over the
15 unexpended amount for the 2055 Demographics Study, and 4) including an additional \$25,000 for
16 the scope creep for the Grayson County Resiliency Plan.

17
18 Mr. Barnett stated that Mayor Atchison pointed out a few changes on Appendix B and requested
19 that the Policy Board include in the motion to amend Appendix B as necessary.

20
21 Motion to approve the Amendment to the UPWP with the revisions to Appendix B was made by
22 Mayor McDonald, seconded by Judge Dawsey. Motion Carries.

23
24 **X. Adjourn MPO Policy Board Meeting to Convene Joint Meeting Between the Policy**
25 **Board and Technical Advisory Committee**

26
27 Mayor Crawley adjourned the Policy Board meeting and called to order the Joint Meeting between
28 the Policy Board and the Technical Advisory Committee. He then turned over the meeting to
29 introduce the TAC members present and give a presentation on Transportation Funding which is
30 attached hereto and incorporated herein.

31
32 **XI. Announcements**

33
34 Mayor Crawley announced that the next TAC meeting was scheduled for March 18, 2026, and the
35 next Policy Board meeting was scheduled for April 1, 2026.

36
37 **XII. Adjournment**


38
39 Having no further business to discuss, Mayor Crawley adjourned the meeting at 9:38 a.m.

40
41
42 _____
43 Robert Crawley, Chairman, GCMPO Policy Board


Transportation Funding

Presented to:
Grayson County MPO Policy Board
February 4, 2026


Promoting transportation systems that efficiently maximize the mobility of people and goods with minimal negative impact.




UTP Funding Levels




Texas Population Estimate



UTP Funding Levels & Texas Population Estimate




Highway Cost Index (HCI) 12 Month Moving Average




Local Example of Increased Highway Cost

- US 75 from FM 1417 to Texoma Pkwy (SH 91)
 - Approximately 4 miles with 6 bridges (one bridge a mile in length)
 - Cost at Letting in 2018 - \$140 million
- US 75 from SH 91 to US 82
 - Approximately 1.7 miles with 4 bridges (includes SH 91 and US 82 reconfigurations)
 - Cost at Letting in 2025 - \$126.7 million


UTP Funding Levels in Today's Dollars



UTP Funding Levels in Today's Dollars & Texas Population Estimate



UTP Funding Levels in Today's Dollars & Texas Population Estimate



Questions?



East Side of the Square, 1890

By 1890, Denison was the 8th largest and Sherman was the 10th largest cities in the State of Texas. In 1880 Grayson County's population was higher than any other Texas county and in 1890 it was second only to Dallas County.

“Good fortune is what happens when opportunity meets with planning.”

- Thomas A. Edison

GRAYSON COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO)
POLICY BOARD (PB)
AGENDA ITEM V
ACTION ITEM

May 6, 2026

Review the 2027-2030 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Approve a Resolution Adopting the 2027-2030 TIP

BACKGROUND:

Every two (2) years MPOs are required to develop a four (4) year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This particular TIP will cover the fiscal years from 2027 to 2030.

The 2027-2030 TIP was released for public comment on March 9, 2026. Staff held a public hearing on March 18, 2026, in conjunction with the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting to solicit comments from the general public regarding the draft 2027-2030 TIP. The draft 2027-2030 TIP was recommended for approval by the TAC at the meeting. The public comment period closed on March 30 at 2:00 pm. No public comments were received.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Approve the Resolution Adopting 2027-2030 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) as presented

ATTACHMENTS: *click underlined items for attachment*

- [Resolution 2026-06](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 2026-06

A RESOLUTION OF THE POLICY BOARD OF THE GRAYSON COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, ADOPTING THE 2027-2030 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Subpart C – Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to develop a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) that meets the requirements of 23 CFR part 450.324 related to the development and content of the TIP; and

WHEREAS, 43 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Section 16.101 requires that the TIP be designed such that once implemented, it makes progress toward achieving the required federal performance targets and that the list of projects contained in the TIP must be prioritized by project within each funding category as described in 43 TAC 16.105(b); and

WHEREAS, federal, state, regional, and local agencies and organizations concerned with transportation planning within the MPO planning boundary have cooperatively developed the TIP to satisfy all federal planning requirements; and

WHEREAS, a draft copy of the TIP was made available to the public for review and comment prior to and ten (10) calendar days after the public meeting held on March 18, 2026 in accordance with the MPO’s Public Participation Plan (PPP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE POLICY BOARD OF THE GRAYSON COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, that the 2027-2030 Transportation Improvement Program is hereby adopted in accordance with Exhibit “A” attached hereto and incorporated herein.

ADOPTED in Regular Session on this the 6th day of May, 2026.

GRAYSON COUNTY MPO

BY: _____
ROBERT CRAWLEY, CHAIRMAN

I hereby certify that this resolution was adopted by the Policy Board of the Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization in regular session on May 6, 2026.

BY: _____
CLAY BARNETT, P.E., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RESOLUTION NO. 2026-06
EXHIBIT "A"



FY 2027 – 2030

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM (TIP)

SHERMAN-DENISON
METROPOLITAN AREA

Prepared by the Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization
in cooperation with the
Texas Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of
Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration

Opportunities for Public Comment

Public Meeting
March 18, 2026

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting
Action: March 18, 2026

Policy Board Meeting
Action: May 6, 2026

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	Background	1
1.	Historical	1
2.	Organization.....	1
3.	Legislation	2
4.	Performance Measures.....	5
5.	Air Quality	8
6.	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	8
7.	Environmental Justice	9
8.	Transit	9
B.	Purpose	9
C.	Definition of Area.....	10
D.	Public Participation Process.....	11
E.	Project Selection Process	12
F.	Project Costs	17
1.	Total Project Costs	17
2.	Year of Expenditure (YOE).....	17
G.	Funding	17
1.	Highway Funding.....	18
2.	Transit Funding	19
H.	Progress from Previous TIP (FY 2023-2026).....	21
I.	Revisions and Administrative Changes	21
II.	FUNDED HIGHWAY PROJECTS	23
A.	Fiscal Year 2027 Projects.....	23
B.	Fiscal Year 2028 Projects.....	24
C.	Fiscal Year 2029 Projects.....	25
D.	Fiscal Year 2030 Projects.....	26
E.	Map of Funded Highway Projects	27
III.	FUNDED TRANSIT PROJECTS.....	28
A.	Fiscal Year 2027 Projects.....	28
B.	Fiscal Year 2028 Projects.....	31
C.	Fiscal Year 2029 Projects.....	33
D.	Fiscal Year 2030 Projects.....	35

IV. FINANCIAL SUMMARY 37

 A. Highway Financial Summary 37

 B. Transit Financial Summary 39

V. LOCALLY FUNDED PROJECTS..... 40

VI. GLOSSARY 41

 A. Definitions 41

 B. Acronyms 42

VII. DISCLAIMER 42

APPENDIX A – SHERMAN-DENSION MPA 43

APPENDIX B – GROUPED PROJECT CSJs 44

APPENDIX C – METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SELF CERTIFICATION..... 46

APPENDIX D – PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING PERFORMANCE TARGETS..... 47

APPENDIX E – PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT DOCUMENTATION..... 49

APPENDIX F – DETAILS OF REVISIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES..... 56

Tables

Table 1: Implementation Timeline 5

Table 2: Project Selection Criteria..... 14

Table 3: Let and/or Completed Projects from the 2023-2026 TIP 21

Figures

Figure 1: TxDOT Funding Sources by UTP Category..... 19

Figure 2: TIP Revision Process..... 22

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

1. Historical

“Prior to 1836 the inhabitants of Grayson County were the Indians, the Spaniards, and the Frenchmen who passed through the county without leaving permanent distinguishing features. The first English speaking white man to look upon the area, which was later to be known as Preston Bend, was probably John Hart. Hart was a trapper, with headquarters in Fort Smith, Arkansas Territory, and he was known to be in the area in 1822. Holland Coffee came to the Preston Bend area in 1836. Indian troubles multiplied in the late 1830's which caused Fort Johnson and Fort Preston to be built in 1840.

The creation of Grayson County in 1846 called for the location of the county seat within four miles of the geographic center of the new county. The county seat was named for Sidney Sherman, one of Sam Houston's staunchest political and personal foes. Sherman was probably one of the most versatile of the leaders of the Republic. He was born in Massachusetts in 1803 and died in Galveston in 1873. To Sherman is due the credit for originating the famous cry of the San Jacinto warriors, "Remember the Alamo; Remember Goliad." The only worthy monument to the memory of Sidney Sherman is the naming of the county seat of Grayson County, a town which he never visited. The naming was a political compromise which brought together the names of Grayson, the pro-Houston Democrat, and Sherman, the anti-Houston Whig. Grayson County was named for Peter W. Grayson, born in Bardstown, Kentucky in 1788. He held various offices of honor and trust under the Republic including the office of Attorney General under President David G. Burnet and Sam Houston, whom he actively supported. In 1838 he campaigned for the Presidency of the Republic. Before the election could be determined, Grayson committed suicide.

*In 1872 the people of Grayson County were given the opportunity of voting a \$150,000 subsidy to the Missouri-Kansas and Texas Railroad. The appropriation of the subsidy would have insured the completion of the tracks to Sherman, and would indeed have been quickly repaid in profit for the whole area and for Sherman in particular. But most people thought the threat of the Katy not to come was idle, and the issue failed. A town was laid out in 1872, north of Sherman, and named for George Denison, Vice President of the Katy. Denison's competition from Red River City was swept away with the flooding of the Red River. **By 1890, Denison was the 8th largest and Sherman was the 10th largest cities in the State of Texas. In 1880 Grayson County's population was higher than any other Texas county and in 1890 it was second only to Dallas County.**”*

- Excerpt from Sherman-Denison Transportation Plan Annual Report 1978-79

2. Organization

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1962 states that after July 1, 1965, in any urban area of more than fifty thousand population, highway projects must be based on a comprehensive, cooperatively developed and continuing planning process. In order to conform to this directive, the State of Texas, Cities of Sherman and Denison, and the County of Grayson entered into an agreement on the 27th day of September, 1968, for a complete and comprehensive transportation study of the Sherman-Denison Study Area.

To assure that the "continuing" requirements of the Act would be met, the same parties entered into a Continuing Phase Agreement on June 29, 1972, which outlined the organization of the study, scope of the continuing phase, responsibilities of the study members, operation of the continuing study, and financial responsibilities of the participating governmental agencies. A subsequent agreement entered into by these parties on May 10, 1973, made revisions in the organizations of committees.

On April 24, 1974, Governor Dolph Briscoe designated the Texoma Regional Planning Commission as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Sherman-Denison Urbanized Area. The designation, as planning partners of the State, received the concurrence of the Cities of Sherman and Denison and Grayson County. A continuing phase agreement addendum, of October 11, 1979, recognized the Texoma Regional Planning Commission as a party to the transportation planning process. The MPO designation was extended to August 31, 1981 when it became continuous.

On June 30, 2011, the Texas Transportation Commission with authority from Governor James Richard (Rick) Perry authorized Minute Order 112728 to redesignate the MPO by separating the MPO from the Texoma Regional Planning Commission (name changed to Texoma Council of Governments in 1992) and designated Grayson County as the fiscal agent. Policy directive for transportation planning within the Sherman-Denison Urban Area has been carried out under the direction and guidance of the Sherman-Denison MPO Policy Board, which was established by agreement between the State, Grayson County and the cities of Denison and Sherman. On September 29, 2021, the Policy Board elected to change the name of the Sherman-Denison MPO to the Grayson County MPO. Acting through the Policy Board, the MPO, in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), administers the transportation planning process in the Sherman-Denison urbanized area.

The Policy Board is the governing body of the MPO. It is comprised of elected public officials from local government and the Paris District Engineer. They work collaboratively to plan for the transportation network in Grayson County. The Policy Board performs its duties in accordance with state & federal laws and is organized under its published By-Laws. All meetings held by the Policy Board are in accordance with the Open Meetings Act. The Grayson County MPO also has a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) whose membership consists of technical staff from the member local governments and TxDOT representatives. The TAC is responsible for advising the Policy Board on all urban transportation planning matters and to help guide the metropolitan planning process. Additionally, this committee advises on issues of a technical nature and provides recommendations of MPO policy issues, provides input regarding the development of all of the MPO's planning documents, any special studies that may arise, and has developed a project selection process.

3. Legislation

Over the years additional legislation enforced the need for coordinated planning: Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA), Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) in 1998, and the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) in 2005 (the Surface Transportation Extension Act of Purpose 2012, Part II extended the time of SAFETEA-LU until September 30, 2012). SAFETEA-LU required the Metropolitan Planning Organizations provide for consideration of projects and strategies in their UPWPs that will serve to advance eight (8) transportation planning factors:

1. Support economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
2. Increase safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
3. Increase security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
4. Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and freight;
5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
7. Promote efficient system management and operation; and
8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.

Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) took effect on October 1, 2012 and reinforced the eight planning factors listed in SAFETEA-LU. MAP-21 was a milestone for the U.S. economy and the surface transportation program through its ability to guide the system's growth and development. MAP-21 created a streamlined and performance-based surface transportation program and builds on many of the highway, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian programs and policies that were established in 1991. MAP-21 originated a new set of performance measure requirements that will transform federal highway programs and provide a means to ensure that federal transportation funds are invested properly by focusing on national transportation goals, increasing the accountability and transparency of the federal highway programs, and improving transportation investment decision-making through performance-based planning and programming. This performance-based system will establish national performance goals to achieve the following:

1. Safety – to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads;
2. Infrastructure condition – to maintain the highway infrastructure asset system in a state of good repair;
3. Congestion reduction – to achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System (NHS);
4. System reliability – to improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system;
5. Freight movement and economic vitality – to improve the national freight network, strengthen the ability of rural communities to access national and international trade markets, and support regional economic development;
6. Environmental sustainability – to enhance the performance of the transportation system while protecting and enhancing the natural environment;
7. Reduced project delivery delays – to reduce project costs, promote jobs and the economy, and expedite the movement of people and goods by accelerating project completion through eliminating delays in the project development and delivery process, including reducing regulatory burdens and improving agencies' work practices.

On December 4, 2015, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act was enacted. It was the first federal law in over a decade to provide long-term funding certainty for surface transportation infrastructure planning and investment. The FAST Act authorized \$305 billion over fiscal years 2016 through 2020 for highway, safety, public transportation, rail, and research, technology, and statistics programs. The FAST Act improves mobility on the highways, creates jobs and supports economic growth,

and accelerates project delivery and promotes innovation. The FAST Act took the eight (8) planning factors of SAFETEA-LU and added two additional ones:

9. Improve the transportation system's resiliency and reliability and reduce or mitigate storm-water impact of surface transportation; and
10. Enhance travel and tourism.

On February 17, 2017, FHWA finalized the third and last in a series of three (3) related rulemakings that established twelve (12) areas of performance measures for State Department of Transportation (State DOT) and MPOs to use as required by the FAST Act. The performance measures are as follows (23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 490.207(a)(1-5); 23CFR Part 490.307(a)(1-4); 23CFR Part 490.407(c)(1-2); 23CFR Part 490.507(a)(1-2), 490.507(b), 490.607, 490.707(a-b), 490.807)):

1. Serious injuries per vehicle miles traveled (VMT);
2. Fatalities per VMT;
3. Number of serious injuries;
4. Number of fatalities;
5. Pavement condition on the Interstate System;
6. Pavement condition on the non-Interstate (NHS);
7. Bridge condition on the NHS;
8. Performance of the Interstate System;
9. Performance of the non-Interstate NHS;
10. Freight movement on the Interstate System;
11. Traffic congestion; and
12. On-road mobile source emissions.

On November 15, 2021, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) was enacted. The purpose of the IIJA was to make a historic investment that will modernize our roads, bridges, transit, rail, ports, airports, broadband, and drinking water and wastewater infrastructure. The IIJA authorized \$550 billion over fiscal years 2022 through 2026 for highway, rail, safety, public transit, ports and waterways, airports, clean school buses and ferries, electric vehicle charging infrastructure, and to reconnect communities.

On Tuesday, April 2, 2024, in *Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Federal Highway Administration*, No. 23-162 (W.D. Ky.), the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Kentucky vacated the Federal Highway Administration December 2023 Greenhouse Gas Rule.

Texas House Bill 20 (HB 20), which was passed during the 84th Legislature, instructs the Texas Transportation Commission (TTC) to develop and implement a performance-based planning and programming process dedicated to providing the executive and legislative branches of government with indicators that quantify and qualify progress toward attaining all department goals and objectives established by the legislature and the TTC. HB 20 further instructs the TTC to develop and implement performance metrics and performance measures as part of the:

1. Review of strategic planning in the Statewide Transportation Program (STIP), rural transportation plans, and the Unified Transportation Program (UTP);
2. Evaluation of decision-making on projects selected for funding in the UTP and STIP; and
3. Evaluation of project delivery for projects in the department's letting schedule.

Finally, HB 20 states that the TTC shall adopt and review performance metrics and measures to:

1. Assess how well the transportation system is performing and operating in accordance with the requirements of 23 USC Section 134 or 135;
2. Provide the department, legislature, stakeholders, and public with information to support decisions in a manner that is accessible and understandable to the public;
3. Assess the effectiveness and efficiency of transportation projects and service;
4. Demonstrate transparency and accountability; and
5. Address other issues the commission considers necessary.

In accordance to HB 20, MPOs shall develop their own project recommendation criteria, which must include consideration of:

1. Projected improvements to congestion and safety;
2. Projected effects on economic development opportunities for residents of the region;
3. Available funding;
4. Effects on the environment including air quality;
5. Socioeconomic effects, including disproportionately high and adverse health or environmental effects on minority or low-income neighborhoods; and
6. Any other factors deemed appropriate by the planning organization.

4. Performance Measures

State DOTs are required to measure performance, establish targets, assess progress toward these targets, and report on performance measure targets. At this time, MPOs can establish their own performance measure targets or support the State DOT’s statewide target. The MPO, TxDOT, and TAPS have executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) outlining a process toward attainment of these performance measures targets for the region of the MPO and the collection of data for the State asset management plan. There are three (3) different sets of performance measures that the State DOTs and MPOs must comply with. They include Safety Performance Measures (PM1), Pavement and Bridge Condition Performance Measures (PM2), and System Performance Measures (PM3). These different types of targets have different deadlines as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Implementation Timeline

Final Rule	Effective Date	States Set Target By	MPOs Set Target By	LRSTP, MTP, STIP and TIP Inclusion
Safety Performance Measures (PM1)	April 14, 2016	Aug. 31, 2017	Up to 180 days after the State sets targets, but not later than February 27, 2018	Updates or amendments on or after May 27, 2018
Pavement and Bridge Condition Performance Measures (PM2)	May 20, 2017	May 20, 2018	No later than 180 days after the State sets targets	Updates or amendments on or after May 20, 2019
System Performance Measures (PM3)	May 20, 2017	May 20, 2018	No later than 180 days after the State sets targets	Updates or amendments on or after May 20, 2019

Safety Performance Measures (PM1)

Compliance with the PM1 performance-based planning requirements began on May 27, 2018 for MPOs. Rather than setting its own targets for PM1, the Policy Board passed resolutions adopting the targets for PM1 established by TxDOT as published in TxDOT's Highway Safety Improvement Program Annual Report on:

- January 22, 2018 for CY 2018,
- December 5, 2018 for CY 2019,
- December 4, 2019 for CY 2020,
- June 3, 2020 for CY 2021,
- June 1, 2022 for CY 2022,
- September 14, 2022 for CY 2023,
- February 7, 2024 for CY 2024,
- February 5, 2025 for CY 2025, and
- February 4, 2026 for CY 2026.

The targets are based on five-year rolling averages for the five safety performance measures. These targets were developed using a data-driven, collaborative process and are aligned with the state's Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and Highway Safety Plan (HSP). They reflect a two percent (2%) reduction from the original trend line projection. When a trend line is decreasing, the target mirrors that projection.

Working in partnership with local agencies, TxDOT safety investments were identified and programmed into the HSIP. Projects chosen for HSIP investments are based on crash history, roadway characteristics, and the existence of infrastructure countermeasures that can address the types of crashes present. These projects will construct effective countermeasures to reduce traffic fatalities and serious injuries.

The MPO supports the state's PM1 targets by reviewing and programming all HSIP projects within the MPO boundary that are included in TxDOT's Transportation Improvement Program. For project selection, safety is one of the criteria where technical points are awarded. Many projects adopted in the TIP support achieving these targets established for safety. Staff will continue to monitor the established targets and report achievements to the Policy Board.

Pavement and Bridge Condition Performance Measures (PM2)

Compliance with the PM 2 performance-based planning requirements began on May 20th, 2019 for MPOs. Rather than setting its own targets for PM2, the Policy Board passed resolution adopting the PM2 targets established by TxDOT on:

- December 5, 2018 and amended on February 3, 2021 for CY 2019-2022,
- July 19, 2023 for CY 2021-2024, and
- February 5, 2025 for CY 2023-2026.

System Performance Measures (PM3)

Compliance with the PM3 performance-based planning requirements began on May 20th, 2019 for MPOs. On June 21, 2018 the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) adopted six (6) targets for System Performance Measures (PM3). Five (5) of these targets apply to interstates, excessive delay per capita in

the Dallas-Fort Worth and Houston-Galveston Metropolitan Areas, and air quality goals in areas not in attainment. Since these do not apply to the Grayson County MPO, the Policy Board passed resolutions adopting one system performance measure, which is: percentage of person-miles traveled on Non-Interstate National Highway System facilities rated "reliable" (TTR Non-IH) on:

- December 5, 2018 for CY 2019-2022, and
- July 19, 2023 for CY 2021-2024, and
- February 5, 2025 for CY 2023-2026.

The target for the performance measure was produced in conjunction with Texas A&M Transportation Institute. The baseline for the performance measure is currently at 99.8%, but will degrade as Grayson County grows. The current level of transportation funding is only sufficient to slow the degradation and cannot prevent it entirely.

Transit

MAP-21 and later the FAST Act and IIJA mandated the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to develop a rule establishing a strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving public capital assets effectively through their entire life cycle. TAM's main objective is that of enhancing safety, reducing maintenance costs, increasing reliability, and improving performance. Under the Transit Asset Management (TAM) Final Rule, the FTA established four (4) performance measures to approximate the State of Good Repair for the four (4) categories of capital assets. These performance measures will help the Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS) quantify the condition of their assets and help facilitate target setting that supports local funding prioritization. Compliance with TAM performance-based planning requirements began October 1, 2018. Since that time, the Policy Board approved resolutions supporting TAPS's performance measures on:

- June 20, 2017 for CY 2018,
- December 5, 2018 for CY 2019,
- December 4, 2019 for CY 2020,
- December 2, 2020 for CY 2021,
- December 1, 2021 for CY 2022,
- December 7, 2022 for CY 2023,
- February 7, 2024 for CY 2024,
- February 5, 2025 for CY 2025, and
- February 4, 2026 for CY 2026.

The Policy Board commits to supporting, planning and programming projects that contribute to the accomplishments of said targets.

Authority for the FTA to establish and enforce a comprehensive framework to oversee the safety of public transportation throughout the United States was also included in MAP-21 and later the FAST Act and the IIJA. This expanded the regulatory authority of FTA to oversee safety, providing an opportunity to assist transit agencies in moving towards a more holistic, performance-based approach to Safety Management Systems (SMS). In compliance with these provisions, FTA promulgated a Public Transportation Agency Safety Program (PTASP) on August 11, 2016 that adopted SMS as the foundation for developing and implementing a Safety Program. FTA is committed to developing, implementing, and consistently improving strategies and processes to ensure that transit achieves the highest practicable level of safety. SMS helps organizations improve upon their safety performance by supporting the institutionalization of

beliefs, practices, and procedures for identifying, mitigating, and monitoring safety risks. Transit providers were required to set targets by July 20, 2020. Metropolitan Planning Organizations were required to adopt the targets by January 20, 2021 (or no more than 180 days after receipt of the Agency Safety Plan from public transportation providers) for the Metropolitan Area. Since that time, the Policy Board approved resolutions supporting TAPS's performance measures on:

- September 2, 2020 for CY 2021-2022,
- December 7, 2022 for CY 2023,
- February 7, 2024 for CY 2024,
- February 5, 2025 for CY 2025, and
- February 4, 2026 for CY 2026.

The Policy Board commits to supporting, planning and programming projects that contribute to the accomplishments of said targets.

Public transit capital projects included in the TIP align with the TAM and PTASP planning and targets setting processes undertaken by TAPS in conjunction with the Grayson County MPO. Investments are made in alignment with TAM plans with the intent of keeping the state's public transit vehicles and facilities in a state of good repair and meeting transit asset management targets. Additionally, investments are made in alignment with PTASP with the intent of achieving the highest practicable level of safety. TxDOT allocates funding for transit rolling stock in accordance with the Public Transit Management System. Additional state and federal funding sources that can be used by transit agencies for vehicle and facility improvements are outlined in the funding chapter of the Transit section of the TIP. TAPS determines the uses of these sources for capital and operating expenses based on their needs.

5. Air Quality

The Clean Air Act of 1990 places several requirements on communities to maintain and improve urban air quality. In response to the Act, the U.S. Department of Transportation has identified those communities in the nation with poor air quality as non-attainment areas and those with good air quality are classified as attainment areas. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conformity requirements, found in 10 CFR 51, require air quality in non-attainment and maintenance areas for significant projects funded with Federal Funds. These requirements do not apply to the Grayson County MPO as Grayson County is currently in attainment under all categories of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, according to the EPA classification.

6. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The ADA was designated to establish equal rights for persons with disabilities. The ADA requires the development of programs that do not discriminate against persons with disabilities solely on the basis of a physical or mental disability. The ADA addresses several areas including employment, public services, nondiscrimination in the private sector, and telecommunications access. The MPO encourages the involvement of people with disabilities in the development and improvement of transportation and para-transit plans and services by conducting all meetings in locations that are accessible to persons with mobility limitations and other aids as needed. All accommodations for the visual and/or hearing-impaired individuals are provided upon request prior to all public meetings.

Many of the projects in the TIP include enhancements to make the various transportation amenities accessible to the disabled. All federally funded transportation projects will be developed in compliance with the ADA.

7. Environmental Justice

Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) states that “No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” A 1999 Presidential Executive Order on Environmental Justice further amplified Title VI by providing that “each federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations.”

Transportation projects affect the environment and the way we live. Low-income and minority populations should receive an equitable distribution of proposed transportation benefits without suffering from excessively high and difficult impacts to their quality of life. As such, the Grayson County MPO in its long-range plan, called the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), outlines a three-step process to address this important planning component:

1. Identifying the block groups in the planning area that have high concentrations of minority and low-income residents;
2. Identifying the block groups in which planned or proposed transportation projects are located; and
3. Assessing whether minority residents and low-income residents are benefitting from a proportional share of the projects.

8. Transit

Public transportation consists of a variety of modes of transportation such as buses, commuter rail, light rail, etc. TAPS is the primary provider of public transit in the Sherman-Denison urbanized and rural areas. Buses are the primary type of service available for use by the general public through TAPS. Coordination between TAPS and the MPO is critical to meeting the needs of the general public.

As an FTA Section 5307 recipient, TAPS must follow a Public Participation Plan (PPP). The FTA allows TAPS to rely on a locally adopted public participation plan for the submittal of their projects in lieu of a separate Program of Projects (POP) if the grantee has coordinated with the MPO and ensured that the public is aware that the MPO's plan is being used to satisfy the POP public participation requirements. To comply with this requirement, it will be specifically stated in the TIP and in legal notices that "*The public involvement/comment period for the draft Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) will also satisfy Texoma Area Paratransit System's (TAPS) public participation requirement for the POP (Section 5307 Funds).*"

B. Purpose

Transportation is an important factor in all our lives. Getting to and from work, school, hospitals, shopping centers and recreational facilities is important to us all. The ability to travel affects our socio-economic well-being. On a larger scale, Grayson County's economy and environment depend heavily on the

condition and efficient performance of our transportation system. Appropriate transportation planning, recognizing the mobility needs and identifying the available resources allow for the maintenance and improvement of our transportation system, therefore affecting our economy and quality of life.

The TIP is the programming document for transportation projects in our area. The TIP identifies those projects from the MTP that are being worked on during this time period. The TIP is mandated by the metropolitan planning requirements set forth by 23CFR, Part 450, Subpart C, §324 which states that the MPO, in cooperation with the State and any affected public transportation operator(s), shall develop a TIP for the metropolitan planning area. The TIP shall cover a period of no less than four (4) years, be updated at least every two (2) years, and be approved by the MPO and the Governor. The TIP may be updated more frequently, but the cycle for updating the TIP must be compatible with the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) development and approval process. TIPs from MPOs are approved at the local level and then submitted for inclusion in their respective states' STIP. The STIP is a four (4) year capital improvement program for the state, which is federally approved and is required for projects to be eligible for funding. The TIP expires when the FHWA/FTA approval of the STIP expires. Copies of any updated or revised TIPs must be provided to FHWA and FTA.

The TIP includes capital and non-capital surface transportation projects (or phases of projects) within the boundaries of the Sherman-Denison MPA proposed for funding. It contains a prioritized list of surface transportation improvement projects that are expected to be carried out within a four (4) year period after the adoption of the TIP. These projects are planned to develop, improve, and maintain an integrated transportation system for the Sherman-Denison Metropolitan Area. The construction and improvement of roadways and transportation facilities listed in the TIP will have a positive impact on safety, mobility, and connectivity throughout the region. Some improvements will affect conditions only within the area in which they are located, yet the impact of others will extend far beyond their immediate locations. These transportation improvement projects can be expected to decrease fatal and serious injury crashes, provide travel options, reduce congestion, improve air quality, conserve energy, enhance quality of life and maintain a transportation system beneficial to the entire region.

C. Definition of Area

The metropolitan planning area is the geographic area in which the metropolitan transportation planning process required by 23 United States Code (USC) 134 and Section 5307 of the Federal Transit Act (FTA) must be carried out. Each metropolitan planning area encompasses the census boundary, the urbanized boundary, and the Metropolitan Urban Area Boundary.

The Sherman-Denison MPA is located in the north central portion of the State of Texas, sharing the northern boundary with the Red River/Oklahoma border and touches Lake Texoma, Eisenhower State Park and the Hagerman National Wildlife Refuge. The southern, eastern and western boundaries extend to the limits of Grayson County and are shared with Collin and Denton Counties to the south, Fannin County to the east, and Cooke County to the west. US Highway 75 running North/South basically splits the area in half and US 82 running East/West intersects US 75 and splits the urban area into quadrants. The MPO is comprised of the following cities: Sherman, Denison, Howe, Gunter, Pottsboro, Van Alstyne, Bells, Collinsville, Dorchester, Pilot Point, Sadler, Southmayd, Tioga, Tom Bean, Whitesboro, and Whitewright. The MPO is also comprised of unincorporated areas of Grayson County, which are likely to become urbanized in the next 20 years. A map of the study area is included in Appendix A. The population

of the urbanized area is 58,572 according to the 2020 U.S. Census and the MPA is 135,543 according to the 2020 U.S. Census.

D. Public Participation Process

The Grayson County MPO recognizes that public participation and public involvement is essential to the success of transportation planning. For this reason and to be compliant with 23 CFR 450.316(a), the Grayson County MPO has adopted a PPP. The PPP is the MPO's official policy for the provision of meaningful, active public participation and involvement in transportation planning and related activities. It is designed to educate the public on transportation planning, to seek out and provide opportunity for interested parties to comment on transportation ideas and proposals, and to actively contribute to the transportation policy and decision-making process. The intent of the PPP is to provide guidance for a proactive and comprehensive process to reach out to the community and encourage input from citizens, affected public agencies, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, agencies and officials involved with tourism and natural disaster risk reduction, representatives of users of public transportation including pedestrian walkways and bicycle facilities, representatives of low income areas, representatives of the disabled, and other interested parties. Comments and feedback from these parties provide the MPO with information about where the transportation needs and priorities are greatest. From project identification to project prioritization, the public plays an important role in shaping the local transportation system. By sharing information between the citizens, stakeholders, board members and staff, the MPO is able to develop plans that best meet the future transportation needs. The Policy Board approved a revision to the PPP on June 23, 2021 to be compliant with 23 CFR 450.316(a), and to ensure that the public has ample opportunity to provide feedback.

The procedures outlined in the PPP include posting the public meetings on our website at www.gcmppo.org, advertising in local communication media and maintaining a current mailing list of those persons who are interested in the transportation process. The PPP is available for review at the MPO and can be found on our website. Additionally, MPO staff is available to answer stakeholders' questions and requests for information. In accordance with the PPP, all meetings of the TAC and Policy Board are advertised and are open to the public and include a public comment period after the acknowledgment of a quorum by the chairman at each meeting. To foster an atmosphere of public cooperation and in the spirit of 23 CFR 450.316(a), the MPO staff actively participates in various public organizations. A mailing list of those who have expressed interest is maintained.

Additional information about the MPO's TIP and PPP can be found on the MPO website at www.gcmppo.org. The site also contains downloadable copies of current and past plans and programs including the TIP, notices of meeting dates, and MPO contact information. This site is designed to ensure that the public is informed about transportation issues and to allow adequate opportunities to discuss projects. Links to public documents and agencies such as the latest Federal Transportation Law (IIJA), FHWA, FTA, TxDOT, cities, and county governments may also be found on the MPO website. Citizens are encouraged to contact the MPO staff with their questions, comments, and concerns on any metropolitan transportation issue by mail, email, phone call, visiting our office or contacting staff at any of our meetings, and also to join our email lists for notification about upcoming meetings and events.

The public was afforded the opportunity to review and comment on the proposed TIP. Public comments were accepted during the TAC meeting held on March 18, 2026. Additionally, a public notice was published in the March 13, 2026 copy of the Herald Democrat announcing that the draft 2027-2030

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) would be available for the public to review and comment. The notice stated that comments would be received through March 30, 2026 until 2:00 pm. This information was also posted on the MPO website at www.gcmpo.org, providing the public with the opportunity to attend the TAC meeting and/or to contact the MPO with any questions, comments, or concerns. The notice was sent via email to members of the media, area chambers of commerce, area economic development corporations, the Tourism/Main Street Manager for the City of Sherman, the Main Street Director for the City of Denison, and others that have expressed an interest in the transportation planning process. Documentation regarding the public participation process can be found in Appendix E.

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.316(b) and with the aim to reduce the risk of natural disasters, improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system, and reduce or mitigate storm-water impacts of surface transportation, staff consulted with the Director of the Grayson County Office of Emergency Management about the draft TIP and how it could be utilized in this manner. Similarly, and with the aim of enhancing the travel and tourism components of our economy, staff consulted with the Tourism/Main Street Manager for the City of Sherman and the Main Street Director for the City of Denison about the draft TIP and how it could be utilized to enhance travel and tourism in our region.

The Policy Board is anticipated to act on the final TIP at the May 6, 2026 meeting.

E. Project Selection Process

Another crucial component of the transportation planning process is the establishment of a project selection process. The Project Selection Process fulfills several needs in the metropolitan planning process. First, it defines a process to choose each project or idea and select the project that meets the intended need. Second, the process helps distinguish between a viable project and an idea. While project ideas and participation are encouraged, there must be some way to decide which project to include and that project's priority compared to other current projects. Since projects can vary greatly, it is important to have a device that helps to compare projects. Often there will be a number of suggested projects but not enough resources, money or time to undertake all of the projects. For this reason, a system for evaluating projects and ideas are necessary.

Projects included in the TIP are selected from the following sources:

- From last two fiscal years' projects of the previous TIP;
- Projects that have not let for construction can also be advanced from earlier fiscal years of the previous TIP;
- Projects from the financially constrained component of the MTP;
- From TxDOT's ten-year Unified Transportation Program (UTP), including environmental and feasibility studies; and
- Additional projects from local governments, transit agencies, and other member agencies.

All selected projects must satisfy the following criteria:

- Be included in the current MTP;
- Have a committed local contribution source by the project sponsor, if required; and
- Federal and state-funded projects must be located on a TxDOT/FHWA approved functional classification system.

The Sherman-Denison metropolitan area is among the smallest populations designated to be served by an MPO in the State of Texas. As a result, the amount of funding received for the Grayson County MPO is inadequate to meet the needs of the region, and is typically only substantial enough to fund a single project. It is impossible to pick a single project that would assist the state and/or the MPO in achieving its performance targets. Therefore, it is imperative to select the project or projects that collectively make the largest impact on all of the performance targets.

In order to accomplish this, projects considered for funding by the MPO are ranked utilizing the Project Selection Criteria and associated Subcriteria identified in Table 2. These align with the performance measures outlined in PM1, PM2, PM3, and HB 20, and include additional Project Selection Criteria that are important to our region. These include:

- Safety (PM1, HB 20),
- Preservation (PM2, HB 20),
- Congestion (includes elements of PM3, HB 20),
- Connectivity (HB 20),
- Effect on Economic Development (includes elements of PM3, HB 20),
- Effect on the Environment (HB 20),
- Transportation Choices, and
- Community Support.

Projects submitted for consideration for funding will be scored utilizing Decision Lens. TxDOT selected the Decision Lens software to enable performance-based investment planning built around agency goals and objectives, priorities, and performance targets. Ranking projects in this manner will allow the MPO to build a pipeline of projects that, when funded, will allow the MPO to meet and exceed federally-mandated performance levels while satisfying requirements for objectivity, transparency, and accountability. The results from Decision Lens will be divided by the percent of TxDOT funds allocated to the project to arrive at the Final Score for the project as calculated in (1).

Information on how the projects selected makes progress toward meeting these Performance Measures can be found in Appendix D.

Table 2: Project Selection Criteria

CRITERIA	CRITERION %	SUBCRITERIA		% OF TOTAL	
SAFETY	28.00%	Crash Count 25%	Estimated Impact on Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes 50%	3.5000%	
			Estimated Impact on Total Crashes 50%	3.5000%	
		Crash Rate 25%	Estimated Impact on Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Rate 50%	3.5000%	
			Estimated Impact on Total Crash Rate 50%	3.5000%	
		Societal Cost Savings 25%			7.0000%
		Safety Importance 25%	Safety Project Classification Y/N 50%	3.5000%	
			Evacuation Route Y/N 50%	3.5000%	
		PRESERVATION	18.58%	Bridge Condition 50%	Reduction in Structurally Deficient Deck Area 50%
Deck Area Receiving Preventive Maintenance 50%	4.6450%				
Pavement Condition 50%	Reduction in Poor Lane Miles (by Ride Score) 25%			2.3225%	
	Lane Mile Receiving Preventive Maintenance (by Ride Score) 25%			2.3225%	
	Reduction in Poor Lane Miles (by Distress Score) 25%			2.3225%	
	Lane Miles Receiving Preventive Maintenance (by Distress Score) 25%			2.3225%	

CRITERIA	CRITERION %	SUBCRITERIA		% OF TOTAL
CONGESTION	17.12%	Congestion Reduction 100%	Benefit Congestion Index - Auto 50%	8.5600%
			Benefit Congestion Index - Truck 50%	8.5600%
CONNECTIVITY	12.02%	Enhanced Connectivity 100%	Congestion/Connectivity Related Y/N 25%	3.005%
			Trunk System Route Y/N 25%	3.005%
			Intermodal Connector Y/N 25%	3.005%
			Lane Miles of New Connectivity 25%	3.005%
ECONOMIC	8.74%	Economic Importance 50%	National Highway System (NHS) Route Y/N 33.34%	1.4570%
			National Highway Freight Network (NHFN) Y/N 33.33%	1.4565%
			Energy Sector Route Y/N 33.33%	1.4565%
		System Usage 50%	Base ADT 50%	2.1850%
			Base ADTT 50%	2.1850%
ENVIRONMENT	4.64%	Environmental Related Program Y/N 50%	Environmental Mitigation Cost 50%	2.3200%
			Environmental Mitigation Cost 50%	2.3200%

CRITERIA	CRITERION %	SUBCRITERIA	% OF TOTAL
TRANSPORTATION CHOICES	3.90%	Accesses schools, parks, large employer, multifamily or mixed-use residential, or shopping Y/N 25.00%	0.4875%
		Population densities in surrounding area 25.00%	0.4875%
		Access to transit stops Y/N 25.00%	0.4875%
		Serves both bicyclists and pedestrians Y/N 25.00%	0.4875%
		Project Included in the Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (BPP) Y/N 50.0%	1.9500%
COMMUNITY SUPPORT	7.00%	Survey Results 100%	7.0000%

$$Final\ Score = \frac{Result\ from\ Decision\ Lens}{1 - Local\ Contribution\ (Percent)} \quad (1)$$

F. Project Costs

1. Total Project Costs

Not all project phases may be implemented within the time-frame of the TIP/STIP. An additional line of information has been added to each Federally Funded Highway project listed by State Category within this TIP reflecting the Total Project Cost as calculated by TxDOT Connect. Information on the additional line includes: preliminary engineering (PRELIM ENG), Right-of-Way Purchase (ROW PURCH), construction (CONST COST), construction engineering (CONST ENG), contingencies (CONTING), indirect (INDIRECT) bond finance (BOND FIN), and potential change order (POT CHG ORD) costs. These estimates are based on averages and actual costs for individual projects may vary significantly.

2. Year of Expenditure (YOE)

Federal regulations stipulate that the TIP include financial plans that reflect YOE dollars for project cost estimates. For highway construction cost, historic trends are used to determine future costs and the future revenues for a project. These project funds are shown in YOE dollars. YOE dollars are dollars that are adjusted for inflation from the present time to the expected year of construction. The annual rate of inflation for cost estimates is usually four percent (4%) for project costs. Using the YOE dollars produces a more accurate cost estimate for a project, which is used for planning, programming and implementation.

Transit operation expenses by year were developed by TAPS.

G. Funding

Federal regulations and guidelines require the TIP be fiscally constrained and have a financial plan. Fiscally constrained applies to projects listed in the TIP and it means demonstrating an assurance that there will be sufficient funds (federal, state, local and private) to implement proposed transportation system improvements. This also includes any maintenance and operation costs. A financial plan is a comprehensive document that details costs associated with a project and the revenue structure that will be used to fund the project.

Developing a financially constrained program requires an open, cooperative process among the state, local and regional stakeholders and the MPO. More than a simple review and comment of each project, the necessity of financial considerations requires constant involvement by all those in the development of the estimated funds and the testing of the reasonableness of the financial projections. During the development phase of the TIP, the MPO coordinates with TxDOT to gather estimates of federal and state funds available. TxDOT works with the various transportation entities to develop the best technical method for projecting state and federal funds for several years ahead. To demonstrate that there are funds available for a project, estimates are used for anticipated revenues. The TIP shows these estimated funds in the fiscal year in which they will be received.

The TIP is the product of these estimates for all projects (highway and transit) that will be implemented during the life of the document. The federal, state and local funds shown in the TIP are consistent with the MTP. The financial constraint enables the TIP to be a meaningful document for implementing the metropolitan transportation goals. The TIP becomes useful for community planning purposes, for meeting environmental protection laws, and for projecting economic, transportation access and mobility

performance. The TIP provides a reasonable guide for highway and transit transportation spending based on the assessment of projected available resources.

Proper use of the financial constraint rationalizes and democratizes the planning process and the program, which implements the metropolitan area's visionary goals. The region can have a proper sense of purpose and proportion through the financial constraint. By forcing us to live within our means, the TIP with a financial constraint becomes a meaningful transportation priority-setting investment plan.

Under 23 CFR 450.326(h) projects proposed for FHWA and/or FTA funding that are not considered by State and MPO of appropriate scale for individual identification in a given program are grouped together based on function, geographical area, and work type by using applicable classifications under 23 CFR 771.117(c) and (d), and/or 40 CFR part 93. TxDOT in cooperation with FHWA/FTA allocates lump-sums based on various funding categories to grouped projects. These projects are identified using specific CSJ numbers and are usually not determined as regionally significant. According to Title 23 USC Section 135 Statewide Planning, MPO handles grouped projects as an administrative modification as long as the lump-sum is identified and approved in the MTP. Projects which fall in this category will be identified with an asterisk (*). These grouped CSJ numbers can be found in Appendix B.

1. Highway Funding

TxDOT has twelve (12) different categories of funding in which they can assign to a project. Figure 1 below shows a brief look at the funding categories. A detailed description of the funding categories can be found in the UTP. A project may have numerous categories attached to it depending on what is being done to the project. Not all categories of funding apply to the Grayson County MPO's planning area, such as Categories 5 and 7.

Figure 1: TxDOT Funding Sources by UTP Category

12 FUNDING CATEGORIES	STATE FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	OTHER FUNDS
1. Preventive Maintenance and Rehabilitation	✓	✓	X
2. Metropolitan and Urban Corridor Projects	✓	✓	X
3. Non-Traditionally Funded Transportation Projects	✓	X	✓
4. Statewide Connectivity Corridor Projects	✓	✓	X
5. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement*	X	✓	X
6. Structures Replacement and Rehabilitation	✓	✓	X
7. Metropolitan Mobility and Rehabilitation*	X	✓	X
8. Safety	✓	✓	X
9. Transportation Alternatives*	X	✓	X
10. Supplemental Transportation Projects	✓	✓	X
11. District Discretionary	✓	✓	X
12. Strategic Priority	✓	✓	X

* While funding in these categories is primarily from federal sources, state and/or other funds may also be used.

Fund Definitions:

State funds are appropriated by the Texas Legislature through the State Highway Fund

Federal funds are appropriated by Congress through the Federal Highway Trust Fund

Other funds include the Texas Mobility Fund, bond revenue, concessions and regional toll revenue and local funds

Source: TxDOT 2026 Unified Transportation Program (UTP)

2. Transit Funding

Congress establishes the funding for FTA programs through authorizing legislation that amends Chapter 53 of Title 49 of the U.S. Code. On November 15, 2021, President Biden signed the IIJA, reauthorizing surface transportation programs through Fiscal Year 2026. Federal funding used by the public transportation providers is based on an appropriations process. Annually, FTA apportions (divides up) the annual appropriation from Congress to fund a variety of public transit activities which require matching funds. All federal grants are awarded on a reimbursement basis, so expenses must be incurred before FTA disburses the federal funds. The public transit providers also receive State funds, which are also disbursed on a reimbursement basis and are appropriated biennially by the Texas Legislature. State funds may be used to meet the match requirements of federal grants or for any other purpose that is allowable under federal or state law and a local match is not required. Listed below are the funding categories listed in the FTA website and used by TAPS.

49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, Sections 5303, 5304 & 5305

Program Purpose: Provide funding and procedural requirements for multimodal transportation planning in metropolitan areas and states that is cooperative, continuous and comprehensive, resulting in long-range plans and short-range programs of transportation investment priorities. The planning programs are jointly administered by FTA and FHWA, which provides additional funding. The funding in this category is usually used to develop transportation plans and programs, plan, design and evaluate a public transportation project, and conduct technical studies related to public transportation.

49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, Sections 5307 & 5340

Program Purpose: The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas and to Governors for transit capital and operating assistance, and for transportation related planning in urbanized areas. An urbanized area is an incorporated area with a population of 50,000 or more that is designated as such by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. The funding in this category is usually used for planning, engineering design and evaluation of transit projects and other technical transportation-related studies; capital investments in bus and bus-related activities such as replacement of buses, overhaul and rebuilding of buses; crime prevention and security equipment; construction of maintenance and passenger facilities; and capital investments in new and existing fixed guideway systems including rolling stock, overhaul and rebuilding of vehicles, track, signals, communications, and computer hardware and software. All preventive maintenance and some ADA complementary paratransit service costs are considered capital costs. For urbanized areas with 200,000 in population and over, funds are apportioned and flow directly to a designated recipient selected locally to apply for and receive Federal funds.

Chapter 53 Section 5310

Program Purpose: To improve mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities by removing barriers to transportation service and expanding transportation mobility options. This program supports transportation services planned, designed, and carried out to meet the special transportation needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities in all areas – large urbanized (over 200,000), small urbanized (50,000-200,000), and rural (under 50,000). Eligible projects include both traditional capital investment and nontraditional investment beyond the ADA complementary paratransit services. At least 55% of program funds in this category must be used on capital or “traditional” 5310 projects. The remaining 45% is for other “nontraditional” projects.

Chapter 53 Section 5339

Program Purpose: The Grants for Buses and Bus Facilities program (49 U.S.C. 5339) makes Federal resources available to States and designated recipients to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities including technological changes or innovations to modify low or no emission vehicles or facilities. Funding is provided through formula allocations and competitive grants. A sub-program provides competitive grants for bus and bus facility projects that support low and zero-emission vehicles. The funding in this category uses capital projects to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities, including technological changes or innovations to modify low or no emission vehicles or facilities.

Transportation Development Credits

Transportation Development Credits have been used by both public transit providers, and they are a federal transportation funding tool that can be utilized by states as a means of meeting local and state matching requirements for federal funding. State credits are accrued when capital investments are made in federally-approved tolled facilities including toll roads and bridges. These credits can then be used as a “soft match”, meaning that they do not represent an actual source of funding. Essentially, these credits reduce the amount of funding a state or local entity has to contribute and allow many programs to be funded with 100 percent federal funds as opposed to the traditional 80/20 percent split between federal and state/local funding sources. One major advantage of this is that it frees local matching funds for other projects.

H. Progress from Previous TIP (FY 2023-2026)

Table 3 lists all of the projects that were let and/or completed in the previous TIP.

Table 3: Let and/or Completed Projects from the 2023-2026 TIP

Facility	Limits		Description	Total Cost
	From	To		
US 75	COLLIN COUNTY LINE (MPO BOUNDARY)	FM 902	WIDEN MAIN LANES FROM 4-LANE TO 6-LANE AND CONVERSION OF TWO-WAY FRONTAGE ROAD TO ONE-WAY	\$92,178,976
US 75	US 82	SH 91	WIDENING FROM 4-LN TO 6-LN	\$126,700,00
US 75	742 E HWY 82, SHERMAN		INSTALL 4 DIRECT CURRENT FAST CHARGE PORTS WITHIN ONE MILE OF THE ELECTRIC ALTERNATIVE FUEL CORRIDORS (US 75)	\$1,073,050
US 75	FM 902	FM 1417	WIDENING FOR 4-LN TO 6-LN	\$152,906,394

I. Revisions and Administrative Changes

Since the TIP is a four (4) year document, TIP revisions and administrative changes can occur on a quarterly basis.

During the TIP revision process, the MPO solicits input from the public. In order to provide the citizens with an opportunity to review the proposed revisions, a public review period and comment period is initiated. During this time, the MPO makes the revised document available in the office as well as online. The public review period is normally concurrent with the public comment period. Comments received during the public comment or review periods are presented to the TAC and Policy Board. Figure 2 shows the general flow of the amendment process to the TIP. Examples of changes that require a TIP revision include:

- Adding federally funded projects;
- Adding regionally significant state or local funded projects;
- Changing the estimated cost of a project that results in a fifty percent (50%) increase in cost and a cost that exceeds \$1.5 million;

- Changes to project limits or scope of work for federally funded projects; and
- Changing the funding sources for a project from non-federal to federal funds.

Administrative changes do not require any formal action or public comment periods. Examples of changes that can be completed through an administrative change include:

- Changes to project identification numbers (such as Control-Section-Job (CSJ) numbers)
- Updating the project’s let date;
- Change in the estimated cost of a project that does one, but not both, of the following: a) exceeds 50% and b) results in a cost exceeding \$1.5 million;
- Splitting or combining projects without modification to original project design concept and scope;
- Modifying the project cost estimate without altering the limits or scope;
- Moving a project from one federal funding category to another;
- Moving a project from one state funding category to another;
- Changing a project’s funding source from federal to state funding; and
- Changes to projects within the “grouped” category.

Figure 2: TIP Revision Process



II. FUNDED HIGHWAY PROJECTS

A. Fiscal Year 2027 Projects

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM									
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - HIGHWAY PROJECTS									
FY 2027									
NO PROJECTS AT THIS TIME									

B. Fiscal Year 2028 Projects

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM									
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - HIGHWAY PROJECTS									
FY 2028									
NO PROJECTS AT THIS TIME									


C. Fiscal Year 2029 Projects

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM									
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - HIGHWAY PROJECTS									
FY 2029									
NO PROJECTS AT THIS TIME									

D. Fiscal Year 2030 Projects

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM									
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - HIGHWAY PROJECTS									
FY 2030									
NO PROJECTS AT THIS TIME									


E. Map of Funded Highway Projects



Percent Below Poverty

- Below 5%
- 5-10%
- 10-15%
- Above 15%

- FY 2027
- FY 2028
- FY 2029
- FY 2030
- CSJ Number



This product is for informational purposes only and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes and are intended for general informational purposes only. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of the property boundaries and right-of-ways. All data provided represents current information as of the date shown and is believed to be accurate, but the accuracy is not warranted.

DRAWN BY: MAX ROWE | 03/02/2026

III. FUNDED TRANSIT PROJECTS

A. Fiscal Year 2027 Projects

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
2027

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2024	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$200,000
PROJECT TYPE	Planning	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Planning	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$200,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$200,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	\$40,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2024	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$1,300,000
PROJECT TYPE	Operations	STATE FUNDS	\$222,895
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Operating expenses	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$1,077,105
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$2,600,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$2,600,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2024	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$841,083
PROJECT TYPE	Preventive Maintenance	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Preventive Maintenance to keep buses in good repair	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$168,217
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$1,009,300
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$1,009,300
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
2027**

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2022	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$366,457
PROJECT TYPE	Fixed Route Implementation	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Fixed Route Implementation - acquisition of bus stop signs, benches and passenger information	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$366,457
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$366,457
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	\$73,291
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2025	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$600,000
PROJECT TYPE	Fixed Route Implementation	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Fixed Route Implementation - acquisition and construction of bus shelters and ADA pads	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$600,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$600,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	\$120,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2025	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$500,000
PROJECT TYPE	Fixed Route Implementation	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Fixed Route Implementation - acquisition of ADP software, passenger counters and route announcements	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$500,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$500,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	\$100,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
2027**

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2025	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$410,094
PROJECT TYPE	Fixed Route Implementation	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Fixed Route Implementation - passenger app/software	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$410,094
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$410,094
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	\$82,019
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2025	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$400,000
PROJECT TYPE	Fixed Route Implementation	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Fixed Route Implementation - farebox collection equipment and bus radios	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$400,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$400,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	\$80,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2027
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5339
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2026	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$48,000
PROJECT TYPE	Bus and Bus Facility	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Bus and bus facility repairs	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$48,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$48,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	\$9,600
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

B. Fiscal Year 2028 Projects

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
2028**

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2028
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2026	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$180,000
PROJECT TYPE	Planning	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Planning	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$180,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$180,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	\$36,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2028
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2026	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$1,200,000
PROJECT TYPE	Operating	STATE FUNDS	\$222,895
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Operating expenses	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$977,105
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$2,400,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$2,400,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2028
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2026	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$420,000
PROJECT TYPE	Preventive Maintenance	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Preventive Maintenance to keep buses in good repair	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$84,000
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$504,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$504,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
 GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
 2028

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2028
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5339
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2027	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$48,000
PROJECT TYPE	Bus and Bus Facility	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Bus and bus facility repairs	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$48,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$48,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS	\$9,600
		REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDS AWARD DATE	

C. Fiscal Year 2029 Projects

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
2029**

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2029
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2027	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$180,000
PROJECT TYPE	Planning	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Planning	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$180,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$180,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	\$36,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2029
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2027	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$1,200,000
PROJECT TYPE	Operating	STATE FUNDS	\$222,895
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Operating expenses	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$977,105
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$2,400,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$2,400,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2029
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2027	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$420,000
PROJECT TYPE	Preventive Maintenance	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Preventive Maintenance to keep buses in good repair	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$84,000
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$504,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$504,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
 GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
 2029

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2029
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5339
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2028	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$48,000
PROJECT TYPE	Bus and Bus Facility	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Bus and bus facility repairs	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$48,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$48,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	\$9,600
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

D. Fiscal Year 2030 Projects

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
2030**

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2030
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2028	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$180,000
PROJECT TYPE	Planning	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Planning	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$180,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$180,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDTS REQUESTED	\$36,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDTS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDTS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2030
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2028	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$1,200,000
PROJECT TYPE	Operating	STATE FUNDS	\$222,895
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Operating expenses	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$977,105
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$2,400,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$2,400,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDTS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDTS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDTS AWARD DATE	

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2030
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5307
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2028	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$420,000
PROJECT TYPE	Preventive Maintenance	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Preventive Maintenance to keep buses in good repair	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$84,000
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$504,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$504,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDTS REQUESTED	
		TRANS. DEV. CREDTS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDTS AWARD DATE	

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
 GRAYSON COUNTY MPO - TRANSIT PROJECTS
 2030

2027-2030 STIP		YOE=Year of Expenditure	
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION		FUNDING INFORMATION (YOE)	
PROJECT SPONSOR	Texoma Area Paratransit System (TAPS)	URBANIZED AREA	GRAYSON
MPO PROJECT NUMBER		FISCAL YEAR	2030
MTP REFERENCE		FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORY	5339
APPORTIONMENT YEAR	FY2029	FEDERAL (FTA) FUNDS	\$48,000
PROJECT TYPE	Bus and Bus Facility	STATE FUNDS	\$0
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Bus and bus facility repairs	OTHER STATE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT DATE		OTHER SOURCE FUNDS	\$0
AMENDMENT REQUEST		FISCAL YEAR COST (YOE)	\$48,000
REMARKS		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$48,000
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS REQUESTED	\$9,600
		TRANS. DEV. CREDITS AWARDED	
		T. DEV. CREDITS AWARD DATE	

IV. FINANCIAL SUMMARY
A. Highway Financial Summary

Fiscal Year	CSJ	Project #	Facility	Limits		Description	2U - Urban Area Corridor Projects	3LC - Local Contribution	4U - Urban Connectivity	10 - NEVI	11 - District Discretionary	12 - Strategic Priority	Total
				From	To								
2027													
	No projects at this time												
												2027 Total	\$0
2028													
	No projects at this time												
												2028 Total	\$0
2029													
	No projects at this time												
												2029 Total	\$0
2030													
	No projects at this time												
												2030 Total	\$0
Total							\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Grayson County MPO											
FY 2027 - 2030 Transportation Improvement Program											
Funding by Category											
Funding Category	Description	FY 2027		FY 2028		FY 2029		FY 2030		Total FY 2027 - 2030	
		TIP Programmed	UTP Authorized	TIP Programmed	UTP Authorized	TIP Programmed	UTP Authorized	TIP Programmed	UTP Authorized	TIP Programmed	UTP Authorized
1	Preventive Maintenance and Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Metropolitan & Urban Area Corridor Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Non-Traditionally Funded Transportation Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3 DB	Design Build (DB)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Urban and Regional Connectivity	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	CMAQ	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Structures - Bridge	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Metro Mobility & Rehab	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	Safety	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8 R	Rail-Highway Crossing Set-Aside Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	TA Set-Aside Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 CRBN	Carbon Reduction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 FB	Ferry Boat Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 SCP	Seaport Connectivity Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 ITS	Information Technology Systems (ITS)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 FLA	Federal Lands Access Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 TPW	Texas Parks and Wildlife Department	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 GR	Green Ribbon Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 ADA	ADA Pedestrian Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 LIA	Landscape Incentive Award	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 RR	Railroad Grade Crossing and Replanking Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 RSMP	Railroad Signal Maintenance Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 NEVI	National Electric Vehicle Initiative	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11 BSIF	Border State Infrastructure	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11	District Discretionary	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11 EN	Energy Sector	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11 (Safety)	Safety (District Discretionary)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11 CO/CO	Cost Overruns / Change Orders	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12 SP	Strategic Priority	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12 TCL	Texas Clear Lanes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SW PE	Statewide Budget PE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SW ROW	Statewide Budget ROW	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Funding Participation Source											
Source	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	Total FY 27-30						
Federal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0						
State	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0						
Local Match	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0						
CAT 3 - Local Contributions (LC)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0						
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0						

B. Transit Financial Summary

Transit Financial Summary									
Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization									
FY 2027- 2030 Transportation Improvement Program									
All Figures in Year of Expenditure (YOE) Dollars							Current as of 05/2024		
Transit Program	FY 2027			FY 2028			FY 2029		
	Federal	State/Other	Total	Federal	State/Other	Total	Federal	State/Other	Total
1 Sec. 5307 - Urbanized Formula >200K			\$0			\$0			\$0
2 Sec. 5307 - Urbanized Formula <200K	\$4,617,634	\$1,468,217	\$6,085,851	\$1,800,000	\$1,284,000	\$3,084,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,284,000	\$3,084,000
3 Sec. 5309 - Discretionary			\$0			\$0			\$0
4 Sec. 5310 - Elderly & Individuals w/Disabilities			\$0			\$0			\$0
5 Sec. 5311 - Nonurbanized Formula			\$0			\$0			\$0
6 Sec. 5316 - JARC >200K			\$0			\$0			\$0
7 Sec. 5316 - JARC <200K			\$0			\$0			\$0
8 Sec. 5316 - JARC Nonurbanized			\$0			\$0			\$0
9 Sec. 5317 - New Freedom >200K			\$0			\$0			\$0
10 Sec. 5317 - New Freedom <200K			\$0			\$0			\$0
11 Sec. 5317 - New Freedom Nonurbanized			\$0			\$0			\$0
12 Other FTA	\$48,000	\$0	\$48,000	\$48,000	\$0	\$48,000	\$48,000	\$0	\$48,000
13 Regionally Significant or Other			\$0			\$0			\$0
Total Funds	\$4,665,634	\$1,468,217	\$6,133,851	\$1,848,000	\$1,284,000	\$3,132,000	\$1,848,000	\$1,284,000	\$3,132,000
Transportation Development Credits									
Requested			\$504,910			\$45,600			\$45,600
Awarded			\$0			\$0			\$0
All Figures in Year of Expenditure (YOE) Dollars									
Transit Programs	FY 2030			FY 2027-2030 Total					
	Federal	State/Other	Total	Federal	State/Other	Total			
1 Sec. 5307 - Urbanized Formula >200K			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
2 Sec. 5307 - Urbanized Formula <200K	\$1,800,000	\$1,284,000	\$3,084,000	\$10,017,634	\$5,320,217	\$15,337,851			
3 Sec. 5309 - Discretionary			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
4 Sec. 5310 - Elderly & Individuals w/Disabilities			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
5 Sec. 5311 - Nonurbanized Formula			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
6 Sec. 5316 - JARC >200K			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
7 Sec. 5316 - JARC <200K			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
8 Sec. 5316 - JARC Nonurbanized			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
9 Sec. 5317 - New Freedom >200K			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
10 Sec. 5317 - New Freedom <200K			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
11 Sec. 5317 - New Freedom Nonurbanized			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
12 Other FTA	\$48,000	\$0	\$48,000	\$192,000	\$0	\$192,000			
13 Regionally Significant or Other			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Total Funds	\$1,848,000	\$1,284,000	\$3,132,000	\$10,209,634	\$5,320,217	\$15,529,851			
Transportation Development Credits									
Requested			\$45,600			\$641,710			
Awarded			\$0			\$0			

V. LOCALLY FUNDED PROJECTS

There are no locally funded, regionally significant projects at this time.

VI. GLOSSARY

A. Definitions

PROJECT CODE	DEFINITION	EXPLANATION
CSJ	Control Section Job Number	TXDOT – assigned number for projects entered into the Unified Transportation Plan (UTP)
PROJ ID	Project Identification	Code assigned by the MPO for local tracking/identification; used to relate projects to the Metropolitan Transportation Plan
F. CLASS	Federal Functional Classification	Federal classification of streets and highways into functional operating characteristics. Categories: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • INTERSTATE - Interstate • FWY/EXP - Other Urban Freeways and Expressways • PRIN ART - Other Principal Arterials • MINOR ART - Minor Arterials • COLLECTOR - Urban Collectors and Rural Major Collectors • MINOR COLLECTOR - Rural Minor Collectors • LOCAL - Urban and Rural Local Streets and Roads
FED PROG	Federal Funding Category	Major categories of Federal funding were established by the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA), continued through to the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) and have been modified in the previous transportation bill, known as the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) and again in the FAST Act and current IIJA. Categories are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IC - Interstate Construction • IM - Interstate Maintenance • NHS - National Highway System • STP - Surface Transportation Program • CMAQ - Congestion & Mitigation Air Quality Funds • BRIDGE - On/Off System Bridge Rehabilitation • DSB - Donor State Bonus Funds • MA - Minimum Allocation Funds • FLHP - Federal Land Highway Program • FTA - Federal Transit Administration Funding
PHASE	Project Phase for Federal Funding	C – Construction E – Preliminary Engineering R – Right of Way Acquisition T – Transfers


B. Acronyms

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DOT	Department of Transportation
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FAST	Fixing America's Surface Transportation
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FY	Fiscal Year
HB 20	Texas House Bill 20
HSIP	Highway Safety Improvement Program
HSP	Highway Safety Plan
IJA	Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991
MAP-21	Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPA	Metropolitan Planning Area
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MTP	Metropolitan Transportation Plan
NHS	National Highway System
PB	Policy Board
PM	Performance Measure
POP	Program of Projects
PPP	Public Participation Plan
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users
STIP	Statewide Transportation Improvement Program
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TAM	Transit Asset Management
TAPS	Texoma Area Paratransit System
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TTC	Texas Transportation Commission
TxDOT	Texas Department of Transportation
UPWP	Unified Planning Work Program
USC	United States Code
UTP	Unified Transportation Program
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled
YOE	Year of Expenditure

VII. DISCLAIMER

“The preparation of this document has been financed in part through grant(s) from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, under the State Planning and Research Program, Section 505 [or Metropolitan Planning Program, Section 104(f)] of Title 23, U.S. Code. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation.”

APPENDIX A – SHERMAN-DENISON MPA



APPENDIX B – GROUPED PROJECT CSJs

PROPOSED CSJ	GROUPED PROJECT CATEGORY	DEFINITION
5000-00-950	PE-Preliminary Engineering	Preliminary Engineering for any project except added capacity projects in a nonattainment area. Includes activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as planning and research activities; grants for training; engineering to define the elements of a proposed action or alternatives so that social, economic, and environmental effects can be assessed.
5000-00-951	Right of Way	Right of Way acquisition for any project except added capacity projects in a nonattainment area. Includes relocation assistance, hardship acquisition and protective buying.
5000-00-952 5000-00-957 5000-00-958	Preventive Maintenance and Rehabilitation	Projects to include pavement repair to preserve existing pavement so that it may achieve its designed loading. Includes seal coats, overlays, resurfacing, restoration and rehabilitation done with existing ROW. Also includes modernization of a highway by reconstruction, adding shoulders or adding auxiliary lanes (e.g., parking, weaving, turning, climbing, passing, non-added capacity) or drainage improvements associated with rehabilitation [See Note 3].
5000-00-953	Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation	Projects to replace and/or rehabilitate functionally obsolete or structurally deficient bridges.
5000-00-954	Railroad Grade Separations	Projects to construct or replace existing highway-railroad grade crossings and to rehabilitate and/or replace deficient railroad underpasses, resulting in no added capacity.
5800-00-950	Safety	Projects to include the construction or replacement/rehabilitation of guard rails, median barriers, crash cushions, pavement markings, skid treatments, medians, lighting improvements, highway signs, curb ramps, railroad/highway crossing warning devices, fencing, intersection improvements (e.g., turn lanes), signalization projects and interchange modifications. Also includes projects funded via the Federal Hazard Elimination Program, Federal Railroad Signal Safety Program, or Access Managements projects, except those that result in added capacity.

PROPOSED CSJ	GROUPED PROJECT CATEGORY	DEFINITION
5000-00-956	Landscaping	Projects consisting of typical right-of-way landscape development, establishment and aesthetic improvements to include any associated erosion control and environmental mitigation activities.
5800-00-915	Intelligent Transportation System Deployment	Highway traffic operation improvement projects including the installation of ramp metering control devices, variable message signs, traffic monitoring equipment and projects in the Federal ITS/IVHS programs.
5000-00-916	Bicycle and Pedestrian	Projects including bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths and facilities (e.g., sidewalks, shared use paths, side paths, trails, bicycle boulevards, curb extensions, bicycle parking facilities, bikeshare facilities, etc.). Safe Routes to School non-infrastructure related activities (e.g. enforcement, tools, and education programs).
5000-00-917	Safety Rest Areas and Truck Weigh Stations	Construction and improvement of rest areas, and truck weigh stations.
5000-00-918	Transit Improvements and Programs	Projects include the construction and improvement of small passenger shelters and information kiosks. Also includes the construction and improvement of rail storage/maintenance facilities bus transfer facilities where minor amounts of additional land are required and there is not a substantial increase in the number of users. Also includes transit operating assistance, preventative maintenance of transit vehicles and facilities, acquisition of third-party transit services, and transit marketing, and mobility management/coordination. Additionally includes the purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet [See Note 4].
5000-00-919	Recreational Trails Program	Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV), Equestrian, Recreational Water/Paddling Trails and related facilities; Recreational Trails related education and safety programs.
<p>Note 1: Projects eligible for grouping include associated project phases (Preliminary Engineering, Right-Of-Way and Construction).</p> <p>Note 2: Projects funded with Congestion Mitigation Air Quality funding require a Federal eligibility determination, and are not approved to be grouped.</p> <p>Note 3: Passing lanes include "SUPER 2" lanes consistent with TxDOT's Roadway Design Manual.</p> <p>Note 4: In PM10 and PM2.5 nonattainment or maintenance areas, such projects may be grouped only if they are in compliance with control measures in the applicable implementation plan.</p> <p>Note 5: Projects funded as part of the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) and Transportation Alternatives (TA) Program consistent with the Grouped Project category definitions may be grouped. RTP or TA funded projects that are not consistent with the Grouped Project category definitions must be individually noted in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Road diet projects may not be grouped.</p>		



APPENDIX C – METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SELF CERTIFICATION

In accordance with the [23 Code of Federal Regulations \(CFR\) 450.336](#), the Texas Department of Transportation and Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization hereby certify that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- (1) [23 U.S.C. 134](#), [49 U.S.C. 5303](#), and this subpart;
- (2) In nonattainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ([42 U.S.C. 7504](#), [7506\(c\)](#) and [\(d\)](#)) and [40 CFR part 93](#);
- (3) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ([42 U.S.C. 2000d-1](#)) and [49 CFR part 21](#);
- (4) [49 U.S.C. 5332](#), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- (5) Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act ([Pub. L. 114-357](#)) and [49 CFR part 26](#) regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in DOT funded projects;
- (6) [23 CFR part 230](#), regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
- (7) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ([42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.](#)) and [49 CFR parts 27, 37](#), and [38](#);
- (8) The Older Americans Act, as amended ([42 U.S.C. 6101](#)), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- (9) [Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C.](#) regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- (10) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 ([29 U.S.C. 794](#)) and [49 CFR part 27](#) regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Noel Paramanatham, P.E., District Engineer
Texas Department of Transportation, Paris District

May 1, 2026

Date

Robert Crawley, Chairman
Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization

May 1, 2026

Date

APPENDIX D – PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING PERFORMANCE TARGETS

Reference Section I.E. Project Selection Process for detailed information on how the following projects were selected:

No projects at this time.

THIS PAGE WAS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

APPENDIX E – PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT DOCUMENTATION



PUBLIC NOTICE

Do not remove until:
April 2, 2026

For More Information Contact:
Clay Barnett, 903-328-2090

GRAYSON COUNTY MPO RELEASES THE DRAFT 2027-2030 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) FOR PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT

SHERMAN, TX (March 9, 2026) – The Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization (GCMPO) is the organization charged with transportation planning for the greater Sherman-Denison Urbanized Area, which includes Grayson County, and is the recipient of federal planning funds.

The GCMPO is releasing the draft 2027-2030 TIP for public review and comment. The TIP contains a listing of projects which the GCMPO intends to pursue over the four (4) year period. The draft 2027-2030 TIP can be found on the front page of the GCMPO’s website at www.gcmppo.org. The public involvement/comment period for the draft TIP will also satisfy Texoma Area Paratransit System’s (TAPS) public participation requirement for the Program of Projects (Section 1307 Funds).

In addition, the GCMPO will host a public meeting on March 18, 2026, at 9:00 am at the GCMPO Sherman Area Office located at:

3904 S U.S. 75
Sherman, TX 75090

FILED FOR RECORD
MAR 9 2 58 PM
CLAY BARNETT
GRAYSON COUNTY, TX

The public meeting can also be attended virtually. Instructions on how to connect to the public meeting virtually can also be found at www.gcmppo.org.

Comments may be submitted by email to: barnettc@gcmppo.org. All comments must be received by 2:00 pm on March 30, 2026, to be included in the public record. The draft 2027-2030 TIP will be placed before the GCMPO Policy Board at its Wednesday, April 1, 2026, meeting for approval.

The GCMPO staff looks forward to hearing from you!

1800 Teague Drive, Suite 100, Sherman, TX 75090
www.gcmppo.org



MEDIA RELEASE

For Immediate Release:
March 9, 2026

For More Information Contact:
Clay Barnett, 903-328-2090

GRAYSON COUNTY MPO RELEASES THE DRAFT 2027-2030 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) FOR PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT

SHERMAN, TX (March 9, 2026) – The Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization (GCMPO) is the organization charged with transportation planning for the greater Sherman-Denison Urbanized Area, which includes Grayson County, and is the recipient of federal planning funds.

The GCMPO is releasing the draft 2027-2030 TIP for public review and comment. The TIP contains a listing of projects which the GCMPO intends to pursue over the four (4) year period. The draft 2027-2030 TIP can be found on the front page of the GCMPO's website at www.gcmppo.org. The public involvement/comment period for the draft TIP will also satisfy Texoma Area Paratransit System's (TAPS) public participation requirement for the Program of Projects (Section 5307 Funds).

In addition, the GCMPO will host a public meeting on March 18, 2026, at 9:00 am at the TxDOT Sherman Area Office located at:

3904 S U.S. 75
Sherman, TX 75090

The public meeting can also be attended virtually. Instructions on how to connect to the public meeting virtually can also be found at www.gcmppo.org.

Comments may be submitted by email to: barnettc@gcmppo.org. All comments must be received by 2:00 pm on March 30, 2026, to be included in the public record. The draft 2027-2030 TIP will be placed before the GCMPO Policy Board at its Wednesday, April 1, 2026, meeting for approval.

The GCMPO staff looks forward to hearing from you!

1800 Teague Drive, Suite 100, Sherman, TX 75090
www.gcmppo.org



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Herald Democrat
PO Box 1128, Sherman, TX 75091
(903) 893-8181

State of Florida, County of Orange, ss:

I, Edmar Corachia, of lawful age, being duly sworn upon oath depose and say that I am an agent of Column Software, PBC, duly appointed and authorized agent of the Publisher of Herald Democrat, the paper complies with Subchapter C, Chapter 2051 of the Texas Government Code, a publication that is a "legal newspaper" as that phrase is defined for the city of Sherman, for the County of Grayson, in the state of Texas, that this affidavit is Page 1 of 1 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and that the attachment hereto contains the correct copy of what was published in said legal newspaper in consecutive issues on the following dates.

Publication Dates:

- Mar 12, 2026

Notice ID: QUqWVbTbCQ7AkgD25oiE

Notice Name: 2027-2030 TIP

Publication Fee: \$45.36

Edmar Corachia

Agent


VERIFICATION

State of Florida
County of Orange

Signed or attested before me on this: 03/12/2026

Notary Public

Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.



Notice of Public Meeting - Grayson County MPO 2027-2030 TIP

Sherman, TX - The Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) will conduct a public meeting to be held at the TxDOT Sherman Area Office located at 3904 S U.S. 75, Sherman, TX 75090 on Wednesday, March 18, 2026 at 9:00 am. The meeting can also be attended virtually. Instructions on how to connect to the public meeting virtually can be found at www.gcmppo.org. The purpose of the meeting is to offer the public an opportunity to review and comment on the draft 2027-2030 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The TIP contains a listing of projects which the MPO intends to pursue over the four-year period. The draft 2027-2030 TIP is being made available for public review and comment and can be found on the front page of the MPO's website at www.gcmppo.org. The public involvement/comment period for the draft TIP will also satisfy Texoma Area Paratransit System's (TAPS) public participation requirement for the Program of Projects (Section 5307 Funds). Comments may be presented at the meeting or submitted by U.S. mail to: Huitt-Zollars, Inc. 1800 Teague Drive, Ste100 Sherman, TX 75090. Comments may also be submitted by email to: barnettc@gcmppo.org. All comments must be received by 2:00 pm on March 30, 2026 to be included in the public record. Published in the Herald Democrat March 12, 2026. 4368750



Home | Sitemap | Contact Us

Commuting to and from Dallas? We can get you there! call 1-800-256-0911

About GC-MPO Calendar Committees/Meetings Planning Documents Public Transportation Resources

Promoting transportation systems that efficiently maximize the mobility of people and goods with minimal negative impact.



Welcome to transportation planning within the Grayson County, Texas urban area. We are located 70 miles north of Dallas along the Red River which separates Texas and Oklahoma. Home to beautiful Lake Texoma and Hagerman National Wildlife Refuge.

UPCOMING EVENTS

Click on a highlighted date to see events for that day.

◀ March, 2026 ▶

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	1	2	3	4

WEDNESDAY, March 18, 2026
 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting - 09:00AM - 10:00AM

FACEBOOK FEED

Sherman-Denison Metropol...
 1,083 followers

Followed Share

Draft 2027-2030 Transportation Improvement Program Released for Public Comment

The Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization (GCMPO) is the organization charged with transportation planning for the greater Sherman-Denison Urbanized Area, which includes Grayson County, and is the recipient of federal planning funds.

The GCMPO is releasing the draft 2027-2030 TIP for public review and comment. The TIP contains a listing of projects which the GCMPO intends to pursue over the four (4) year period. The draft 2027-2030 TIP can be found by clicking [HERE](#).

The public involvement/comment period for the draft TIP will also satisfy Texoma Area Paratransit System's (TAPS) public participation requirement for the Program of Projects (Section 5307 Funds).

In addition, the GCMPO will host a public meeting on March 18, 2026, at 9:00 am at the TxDOT Sherman Area Office located at:

3904 S U.S. 75
 Sherman, TX 75090

The public meeting can also be attended virtually via Microsoft Teams using the following information:


Microsoft Teams meeting

Join: <https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/24476114573156?p=Hfvtbq6nzOR0LjqaUQt>

Meeting ID: 244 761 145 731 56

Passcode: 3Sd6Ea3R

Comments may be submitted by email to: barnettc@gcmppo.org. All comments must be received by 2:00 pm on March 30, 2026, to be included in the public record. The draft 2027-2030 TIP will be placed before



Sherman-Denison Metropolitan Planning Organization
about 11 months ago

TxDOT is asking Grayson County Residents to participate in the Texas Travel Survey. These surveys provide important information about local travel patterns and needs. They help TxDOT make decisions about

the GCMPO Policy Board at its Wednesday, April 1, 2026, meeting for approval.

The GCMPO staff looks forward to hearing from you!

GIVE US YOUR INPUT!

TWITTER FEED

[Sherman-Denison MPO Tweets by GCMPO](#)

CURRENT WEATHER



Sherman, TX Weather  **55°F**
overcast clouds

Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed
				
73°F 50°F	70°F 45°F	79°F 59°F	73°F 66°F	70°F 52°F

Note: the MPO is governed by a public body of directors (organization) and operates all programs and projects without regard to race, color and national origin. The Public Participation Plan describes these objectives along with the procedures to file any complaints in accordance with Title VI. [Please click here for Title VI documents.](#)

Grayson County MPO | 1800 Teague Dr, Suite 100 | Sherman, Texas 75090 | Phone: (903) 328-2090 | Fax: (903) 328-2089 | [Disclaimer](#)

Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
 MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Date: March 18, 2026

Location: TxDOT Sherman Area Office, 3904 S US 75, Sherman, TX 75090

Time: 9:00 AM

	NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	EMAIL	AFFILIATION
1	Clint Philipp	22 W Mulberry St Sherman	903-892-7200	clintp@cityofsherman.com	Sherman
2	Alex Glushko	152 N. Main Dr. VanAlstyne	903-444-1101	aglushko@cityofvan...us	VA
3	Bill Burton	UK	903-814-1022	Bill@burtonandson.com	
4	STONE NIX	528 E. FM 120 Pottsboro	903-221-7700	SNIX@CITYOFPOTTSBORO.COM	Pottsboro
5	Morde Walker	116 E. Hawing St Howe	903-421-3733	mwalker@cityofhowe.tx.us	Howe
6	Art Arthur	1331 Arthur Rd. Damon	903-815-1104	art.arthur@co.grayson.tx.us	GRAYSON Co
7	Josh Marr	108 Providence Dr 75495	903-821-0596	josh.marr@co.grayson.tx.us	Grayson Co.
8	BRYAN ESMAILI-DOKI	3904 US 75 South Sherman TX 75090	(903) 868-9251	bryan.esmailidoki@txdot.gov	TxDOT
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					



Comment Card

Please provide your comments below on the 2025-2028 Transportation Improvement Program for the Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Comments/Questions:

Please check any that apply to you:

- I am employed by TxDOT.
- I do business with TxDOT.
- I could monetarily benefit from the projects or other items about which I am commenting on.

Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5)

Join our email list:

Name: _____

Email: _____

1800 Teague Drive, Suite 100, Sherman, TX 75090

www.gcmpo.org

APPENDIX F – DETAILS OF REVISIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES

There are no revisions or administrative changes at this time.

GRAYSON COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO)
POLICY BOARD (PB)
AGENDA ITEM VI
ACTION ITEM

May 6, 2026

Authorize Execution of a Grant Agreement Under the Fiscal Year 2025 Safe Streets and Roads for All Grant Program Between the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Grayson County MPO

BACKGROUND:

Included as Item 5.3 in the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for the Grayson County MPO are funds for the development of the Grayson County Comprehensive Safety Action Plan. MPO Staff applied for a Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Grant on June 26, 2025. We received notification on December 29, 2025, that the Grayson County MPO had been awarded a grant in an amount not to exceed \$400,000 with a required 20% local match.

Prior to beginning work on the Grayson County Comprehensive Safety Action Plan, FHWA requires execution of the attached Grant Agreement. FHWA did state that the Grant Agreement is still in a draft format until it has been approved by USDOT and requested that we make approval of the Grant Agreement subject to FHWA approval. The do not anticipate any material changes to the grant agreement. If any of the changes requested are deemed material, Staff will place the final agreement on the next Policy Board Agenda for approval.

The next step will be to select a consultant to assist with the development of the Grayson County Comprehensive Safety Action Plan. Project cost and local match requirements will be determined with the selection of a consultant and can be discussed prior to approval of a contract with a consultant.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Authorize Execution of the Grant Agreement Under the Fiscal Year 2025 Safe Streets and Roads for All Grant Program Between the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Grayson County MPO subject to FHWA approval.

ATTACHMENTS: *click underlined items for attachment*

- [*Grant Agreement Under the Fiscal Year 2025 Safe Streets and Roads for All Grant Program Between the United States Department of Transportation's \(USDOT\) Federal Highway Administration \(FHWA\) and the Grayson County MPO*](#)

STAFF CONTACT: Clay Barnett, P.E., 903.328.2090, barnettc@gcmpo.org

- 1. **Federal Award No.**
- 2. **Effective Date**
See No. 15 Below
- 3. **Assistance Listings No.**
20.939
- 4. **Award To**
Grayson County MPO
100 W Houston ST
Sherman TX, 75090-0034

Unique Entity Id.: FFK8SXNZTLK3
TIN No.: 75-6000969
- 5. **Sponsoring Office**
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
Office of Safety
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
HSSA-1, Mail Drop W56-485
Washington, DC 20590
- 6. **Period of Performance**
Effective Date of Award – 26 months
- 7. **Total Amount**
Federal Share: \$400,000
Recipient Share: \$100,000
Other Federal Funds: \$0
Other Funds: \$0
Total: \$500,000
- 8. **Type of Agreement**
Grant
- 9. **Authority**
Section 24112 of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA, Pub. L. 117–58, November 15, 2021)
- 10. **Procurement Request No.**
[TBD]
- 11. **Federal Funds Obligated**
\$400,000
- 12. **Submit Payment Requests To**
See Article 5.
- 13. **Description of the Project**
Grayson County Comprehensive Safety Action Plan

RECIPIENT

14. Signature of Person Authorized to Sign

Signature _____ Date _____
 Name: Robert Crawley
 Title: Chairman, Grayson County MPO

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

15. Signature of Agreement Officer

Signature _____ Date _____
 Name: _____
 Title: Agreement Officer

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

GRANT AGREEMENT UNDER THE FISCAL YEAR 2025 SAFE STREETS AND ROADS FOR ALL GRANT PROGRAM

This agreement is between the United States Department of Transportation's (the "USDOT") Federal Highway Administration (the "FHWA") and the Grayson County MPO (the "Recipient").

This agreement reflects the selection of the Recipient to receive a Safe Streets and Roads for All ("SS4A") Grant for the Grayson County Comprehensive Safety Action Plan.

The parties therefore agree to the following:

ARTICLE 1 GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1.1 General Terms and Conditions.

- (a) In this agreement, "**General Terms and Conditions**" means the content of the document titled "General Terms and Conditions Under the Fiscal Year 2025 Safe Streets and Roads for All ("SS4A") Grant Program," dated January 22, 2026 which is available at <https://www.transportation.gov/grants/ss4a/grant-agreements> under "Fiscal Year 2025." Articles 7-33 are in the General Terms and Conditions. The General Terms and Conditions are part of this agreement.
- (b) The Recipient acknowledges that it has knowledge of the General Terms and Conditions. Recipient also states that it is required to comply with all applicable Federal laws and regulations including, but not limited to, the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (2 CFR part 200); National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq.); and Build America, Buy America Act (IIJA, div. G §§ 70901-27).
- (c) The Recipient acknowledges that the General Terms and Conditions impose obligations on the Recipient and that the Recipient's non-compliance with the General Terms and Conditions may result in remedial action, termination of the SS4A Grant, disallowing costs incurred for the Project, requiring the Recipient to refund to the FHWA the SS4A Grant, and reporting the non-compliance in the Federal-government-wide integrity and performance system.

**ARTICLE 2
APPLICATION, PROJECT, AND AWARD**

2.1 Application.

Application Title: Grayson County Comprehensive Safety Action Plan

Application Date: 06/24/2025

2.2 Award Amount.

SS4A Grant Amount: \$400,000

2.3 Federal Obligation Information.

Federal Obligation Type: Single

2.4 Budget Period.

Budget Period: See Block 6 of Page 1

2.5 Grant Designation.

Designation: Planning and Demonstration

**ARTICLE 3
SUMMARY PROJECT INFORMATION**

3.1 Summary of Project’s Statement of Work.

Narrative: This award is for a/an action plan.

This award will be used by Grayson County Metropolitan Planning Organization to develop a Comprehensive Safety Action Plan covering Grayson County, Texas, and a Safe Routes to School program, including supplemental planning activities. Work includes data collection and Title VI analysis, fatality and high-injury network mapping, countermeasure evaluation, public engagement, and prioritization to produce an implementation-ready Action Plan and Safe Routes to School plan with prioritized projects to reduce fatal crashes and serious injuries.

The project will be completed in one phase.

3.2 Project’s Estimated Schedule.

Action Plan Schedule

Milestone	Schedule Date
Planned Final Plan Publicly Available Date:	03/31/2028
Planned SS4A Final Report Date:	05/31/2028

3.3 Project’s Estimated Costs.

(a) Eligible Project Costs

Eligible Project Costs	
SS4A Grant Amount:	\$400,000
Other Federal Funds:	\$0
State Funds:	\$0
Local Funds:	\$100,000
In-Kind Match:	\$0
Other Funds:	\$0
Total Eligible Project Cost:	\$500,000

(b) Reserved

(c) Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are allowable under this Agreement in accordance with 2 CFR part 200 and the Recipient's approved Budget Application. In the event the Recipient's indirect cost rate changes, the Recipient will notify FHWA of the planned adjustment and provide supporting documentation for such adjustment. This Indirect Cost provision does not operate to waive the limitations on Federal funding provided in this document. The Recipient's indirect costs are allowable only insofar as they do not cause the Recipient to exceed the total obligated funding.

ARTICLE 4 CONTACT INFORMATION

4.1 Recipient Contact(s).

Clay Barnett
Director
Grayson County MPO
100 W. Houston St.
Sherman, TX 75090
(903) 870-6543
barnettc@gcmppo.org

4.2 Recipient Key Personnel.

Name	Title or Position
Clay Barnett	Director

4.3 USDOT Project Contact(s).

Safe Streets and Roads for All Program Manager
Federal Highway Administration
Office of Safety
HSSA-1, Mail Stop: W56-485
1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.
Washington, DC 20590
SS4A.FHWA@dot.gov

and

Agreement Officer (AO)
Federal Highway Administration
Office of Competitive Grants and Workforce Programs
HACG-30, Mail Stop W51-232
1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E.
Washington, DC 20590

HCFASS4A@dot.gov

and

Division Administrator –Texas Division
Agreement Officer’s Representative (AOR)
300 East 8th Street #826
512.536.5900
Texas.FHWA@dot.gov

and

Robert Granberg
Texas Division Office Lead Point of Contact
Transportation Finance Specialist
300 East 8th Street #826
512.536.5900
Robert.Granberg@dot.gov

ARTICLE 5 USDOT ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

USDOT Administrative Information

5.1 Office for Subaward and Contract Authorization.

USDOT Office for Subaward and Contract Authorization: FHWA Office of Competitive Grants and Workforce Programs

SUBAWARDS AND CONTRACTS APPROVAL

Note: See 2 CFR § 200.331, Subrecipient and contractor determinations, for definitions of subrecipient (who is awarded a subaward) versus contractor (who is awarded a contract).

Note: Recipients with a procurement system deemed approved and accepted by the Government or by the Agreement Officer (the “AO”) are exempt from the requirements of this clause. See 2 CFR 200.317 through 200.327.

In accordance with 2 CFR 200.308(f)(6), the recipient or subrecipient shall obtain prior written approval from the USDOT agreement officer for the subaward, if the subaward activities were not proposed in the application or approved in the Federal award. This provision is in accordance with 2 CFR 200.308 (f) (6) and does not apply to procurement transactions for goods and services. Approval will be issued through written notification from the AO or a formal amendment to the Agreement.

5.2 Reimbursement Requests

- (a) The Recipient may request reimbursement of costs incurred within the budget period of this agreement if those costs do not exceed the amount of funds obligated and are allowable under the applicable cost provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart E. The Recipient shall not request reimbursement more frequently than monthly.

- (b) The Recipient shall use the DELPHI iSupplier System to submit requests for reimbursement to the payment office. When requesting reimbursement of costs incurred or credit for cost share incurred, the Recipient shall electronically submit supporting cost detail with the SF-270 (Request for Advance or Reimbursement) or SF-271 (Outlay Report and Request for Reimbursement for Construction Programs) to clearly document all costs incurred.
- (c) The Recipient's supporting cost detail shall include a detailed breakout of all costs incurred, including direct labor, indirect costs, other direct costs, travel, etc., and the Recipient shall identify the Federal share and the Recipient's share of costs. If the Recipient does not provide sufficient detail in a request for reimbursement, the Agreement Officer's Representative (the "AOR") may withhold processing that request until the Recipient provides sufficient detail.
- (d) The USDOT shall not reimburse costs unless the AOR reviews and approves the costs to ensure that progress on this agreement is sufficient to substantiate payment.
- (e) In the rare instance the Recipient is unable to receive electronic funds transfers (EFT), payment by EFT would impose a hardship on the Recipient because of their inability to manage an account at a financial institution, and/or the Recipient is unable to use the DELPHI iSupplier System to submit their requests for disbursement, the FHWA may waive the requirement that the Recipient use the DELPHI iSupplier System. The Recipient shall contact the Division Office Lead Point of Contact for instructions on and requirements related to pursuing a waiver.
- (f) The requirements set forth in these terms and conditions supersede previous financial invoicing requirements for Recipients.

ARTICLE 6 SPECIAL GRANT TERMS

- 6.1** SS4A funds must be expended within five years after the grant agreement is executed and DOT obligates the funds, which is the budget period end date in section 10.3 of the Terms and Conditions and section 2.4 in this agreement.
- 6.2** The Recipient demonstrates compliance with civil rights obligations and nondiscrimination laws, including Titles VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, and accompanying regulations. Recipients of Federal transportation funding will also be required to comply fully with regulations and guidance for the ADA, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and all other civil rights requirements.
- 6.3** SS4A Funds will be allocated to the Recipient and made available to the Recipient in accordance with FHWA procedures.
- 6.4** The Recipient of a Planning and Demonstration Grant acknowledges that the Action Plan will be made publicly available and agrees that it will publish the final Action Plan on a publicly available website.
- 6.5** There are no other special grant requirements.

ATTACHMENT A
PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT INFORMATION

Study Area: Grayson County, Texas

Baseline Measurement Date: N/A

Baseline Report Date: N/A

Table 1: Performance Measure Table

Measure	Category and Description	Measurement Frequency and Reporting Deadline
Costs	Project Costs: Quantification of the cost of each eligible project carried out using the grant	Within 120 days after the end of the period of performance
Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Lessons Learned and Recommendations: Description of lessons learned and any recommendations relating to future projects or strategies to prevent death and serious injury on roads and streets.	Within 120 days after the end of the period of performance

**ATTACHMENT B
CHANGES FROM APPLICATION**

Scope: N/A

Schedule: The start and end dates proposed in the project application materials were October 1, 2025, through September 30, 2027 (24 months). Due to later award announcement and grant agreement execution dates, these dates have been updated accordingly. After further evaluation of the period of performance originally requested, the period of performance also has been extended by two months to ensure enough time to complete all activities.

Budget: N/A

ATTACHMENT C

RESERVED

ATTACHMENT D

RESERVED

**ATTACHMENT E
LABOR AND WORK**

1. Efforts to Support Good-Paying Jobs and Strong Labor Standards

The Recipient states that rows marked with “X” in the following table align with the application:

X	The Recipient or a project partner promotes robust job creation by supporting good-paying jobs directly related to the project with free and fair choice to join a union. <i>(Describe robust job creation and identify the good-paying jobs in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner will invest in high-quality workforce training programs such as registered apprenticeship programs to recruit, train, and retain skilled workers, and implement policies such as targeted hiring preferences. <i>(Describe the training programs in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner will partner with high-quality workforce development programs with supportive services to help train, place, and retain workers in good-paying jobs or registered apprenticeships including through the use of local and economic hiring preferences, linkage agreements with workforce programs, and proactive plans to prevent harassment. <i>(Describe the supportive services provided to trainees and employees, preferences, and policies in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner will partner and engage with local unions or other worker-based organizations in the development and lifecycle of the project, including union participation or project labor agreements which promote cost-effectiveness and open competition. <i>(Describe the partnership or engagement with unions and/or other worker-based organizations and agreements in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner will partner with communities or community groups to develop workforce strategies. <i>(Describe the partnership and workforce strategies in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner has taken other actions related to the Project to create good-paying jobs with the free and fair choice to join a union and incorporate strong labor standards. <i>(Describe those actions in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner has not yet taken actions related to the Project to create good-paying jobs with the free and fair choice to join a union and incorporate strong labor standards but, before beginning construction of the Project, will take relevant actions described in schedule B. <i>(Identify the relevant actions from schedule B in the supporting narrative below.)</i>
	The Recipient or a project partner has not taken actions related to the Project to improve good-paying jobs and strong labor standards and will not take those actions under this award.

2. Supporting Narrative.

The Grayson County MPO will develop a “Request for Proposal” in order to select a transportation planning consultant to assist the Grayson County MPO with the development of the Comprehensive Safety Action Plan for the Grayson County MPO. The Grayson County MPO’s Technical Advisory Committee will rank the proposals received and make a recommendation to the Policy Board of the most

qualified consultant. This process of selecting the consultant ensures that the selected consultant is supporting good-paying jobs and upholding strong labor standards.

ATTACHMENT F
CRITICAL SECURITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND RESILIENCE

1. Efforts to Strengthen the Security and Resilience of Critical Infrastructure Against Both Physical and Cyber Threats.

The Recipient states that rows marked with “X” in the following table are accurate:

	The Recipient demonstrates, prior to the signing of this agreement, effort to consider and address physical and cyber security risks relevant to the transportation mode and type and scale of the activities.
	The Recipient appropriately considered and addressed physical and cyber security and resilience in the planning, design and oversight of the project, as determined by the Department and the Department of Homeland Security.
	The Recipient complies with 2 CFR 200.216 and the prohibition on certain telecommunications and video surveillance services or equipment.
X	Not applicable. Grant does not require the purchase of information technology or operational technology.

2. Supporting Narrative.

N/A